

REPORT

Geotechnical and Hydrogeological Investigation Report

Geotechnical and Hydrogeological Investigation High-Rise Residential Apartment Buildings River Road and John Street, Niagara Fall, Ontario

Submitted to:

Mr. Shadi Aghaei

Vice-President Times Group Corp. 3985 Highway 7 East, Suite 202 Markham, ON, L3R 2A2

Submitted by:

Golder Associates Ltd.

#1, 215 Shields Court,Markham, Ontario, L3R 8V2, Canada

+1 905 475 5591

19127638

October 4, 2019

Distribution List

1 eCopy - Times Group Corp. (2486489 Ontario Inc.)

1 eCopy - Golder Associates Ltd.

Table of Contents

1.0	INTRO	INTRODUCTION		
2.0	SITE AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION			
3.0	INVESTIGATION PROCEDURES			
4.0	SITE GEOLOGY AND STRATIGRAPHY			
	4.1	Regional Geology	.3	
	4.2	Background Information	.4	
	4.3	Subsurface Conditions	.4	
	4.3.1	Topsoil/Fill	.4	
	4.3.2	Silty Clay	.4	
	4.3.3	Silty Sand to Silt	.5	
	4.3.4	Silty Clay Till	.5	
 4.3.5 Silty Sand and Gravel Till 4.3.6 Bedrock 4.3.7 Groundwater Measurements 		Silty Sand and Gravel Till	.5	
		Bedrock	.5	
		Groundwater Measurements	.6	
5.0	DISCU	JSSION AND GEOTECHNICAL/HYDROGEOLOGICAL RECOMMENDATIONS	.7	
	5.1	Foundation Design	.7	
	5.2	Temporary Excavation and Support	.8	
	5.2.1	Overburden	.8	
	5.2.2	Bedrock	.9	
	5.2.3	Vibration Monitoring1	0	
	5.3	Lateral Earth Pressure for Basement Walls in Overburden1	0	
	5.4	Slab-on-Grade Floor1	1	
	5.5	Permanent Drainage1	1	
	5.6	Site Classification for Seismic Site Response1	2	
	5.7	Hydraulic Conductivity1	2	
	5.8	Groundwater Inflow Estimate1	3	

6.0	ROCK SLOPE STABILITY ALONG RIVER ROAD	.14
7.0	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS	.17
8.0	CLOSURE	.17

TABLES

Table 1: Monitoring Well Survey Data	3
Table 2: Approximate Depth and Elevation of Bedrock Surface	5
Table 3: Summary of UCS Test Results	6
Table 4: Groundwater Level Measurements	6
Table 5: Hydraulic Conductivity Test Results	13

FIGURES

Figure 1: Segments Inspected by Golder along River Road, Niagara Falls	.15
Figure 2: Typical overhang observed along Segment 1	.16

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Important Information and Limitations of This Report

APPENDIX B

Figure B1 – Key Plan Figure B2 – Borehole Location Plan Figure B3 – Shallow Bedrock Groundwater Flow

APPENDIX C

Method of Soil Classification Symbols and Terms used on Records of Boreholes and Test Pits List of Symbols Record of Borehole Sheets Boreholes and Drillholes BH16-1 to BH16-4

APPENDIX D

Results of Geotechnical Laboratory Testing

APPENDIX E Extract from AMEC 2006

APPENDIX F Hydraulic Conductivity Results



1.0 INTRODUCTION

Golder Associates Ltd. (Golder) has been retained by 2486489 Ontario Inc., Times Group Corporation (TGC) to provide an updated geotechnical investigation report for the geotechnical investigation carried out at 5507 River Road in Niagara Falls, Ontario, in November and December 2016. This report is based on the data conducted as a part of the geotechnical investigation which were presented in a geotechnical report titled, *"Geotechnical and Hydrogeological Investigation, High-Rise Residential Apartment Buildings, River Road and John Street, Niagara Falls Ontario"*, dated June 29, 2017, Report No. 1668252(1000) (Golder 2017). The investigation was carried out for 5507 River Developments Inc. and it is understood that TGC is now the new owner of the site.

Geotechnical consulting services are provided in support of the design for the proposed high-rise residential apartment buildings (the project) to be located north of the intersection of River Road and John Street (the Site) in Niagara Falls, Ontario, at the location shown on the Key Plan, **Figure B1**. The terms of reference for the geotechnical consulting services are included in Golder's proposal No. OP19121302, dated November 9, 2016.

The purpose of the investigation was to obtain information on the general subsurface soil, rock and groundwater conditions at the Site by means of a limited number of boreholes and laboratory tests. Based on an interpretation of the factual information available for this Site, this report provides engineering comments, recommendations and parameters for the geotechnical design aspects of the project, including selected construction considerations which could influence design decisions. It should be noted that this report addresses only the geotechnical (physical) aspects of the subsurface conditions at the Site. The geo-environmental (chemical) aspects of the project, including consequences of possible surface and/or subsurface contamination resulting from previous activities or uses of the site and/or resulting from the introduction onto the site of materials from off-site sources, are not addressed herein. Phase I and Phase II Environmental Site Assessments (ESAs) submitted under separate covers.

This report provides the results of the geotechnical investigation and should be read in conjunction with the *"Important Information and Limitations of This Report"* in **Appendix A** which forms an integral part of this document. The reader's attention is specifically drawn to this information, as it is essential for the proper use and interpretation of this report. The factual data, interpretations and recommendations contained in this report pertain to a specific project as described in the report and are not applicable to any other project or site location. If the project is modified in concept, location or elevation, or if the project is not initiated within eighteen months of the date of the report, Golder should be given an opportunity to confirm that the recommendations in this report are still valid.

2.0 SITE AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The site encompasses several municipal addresses (5471, 5491 & 5507 River Road and 4339, 4407, 4413 & 4427 John Street) and is located north of the intersection of John Street and River Road in Niagara Falls, Ontario. The current site area is 7,903 m² (or 1.95 ac). The properties are either vacant or occupied by residential houses. The irregular shaped site is bordered on the east by River Road, Philip Street to the north, John Street to the south and residential houses on the west. The site slopes upwards towards the west with elevations between 173 m and 163 m. A slope runs in the north to south direction along the portion of the site bordered by River Road. The height of the slope increases from approximately 1 m to 4 m, north to south.

At the time of preparing this report, final design information was not available for the proposed development. The site plan and drawings provided by TGC and Icke Brochu Architects Inc. (IBA), "5507 River Road, Proposed Residential Development, Niagara Falls, Ontario" dated September 23, 2019, re-issued for Official Plan Approval/Re-Zoning, indicate that the proposed development will consist of the following:

- Building A 32 storey high-rise building with mechanical penthouse roof floor and three levels of underground parking located at the corner of River Road and John Street;
- Building B 6 storey mid-rise building with mechanical penthouse roof floor and three levels of underground parking located at the corner of Philip Street and River Street;
- A one storey structure linking Building A and B with three levels of underground parking; and,
- Associated parking lot, landscape areas and ramp connecting to underground parking.

Based on the site plans and information provided at the time of writing this report, the finished floor elevation (FFE) of the ground floor will be 170.5 m. The drawings indicate that the P1, P2 and P3 levels will be at 3.75 m, 2.95 m and 2.95 m below the ground floor FFE, indicating that the basement levels FFE will be at elevations of 166.75 m, 163.8 and 160.85 m, respectively, with an excavation area of about 8,000 m².

3.0 INVESTIGATION PROCEDURES

The geotechnical field investigation for this assignment was carried out between November 29 and December 6, 2016, during which time four boreholes (BH16-1 to BH16-4) were advanced. The boreholes for the geotechnical investigation were drilled using standard truck-mounted CME 75 drill rig supplied and operated by DBW Drilling Ltd. of Ajax, Ontario, subcontracted to Golder. The approximate borehole locations are shown on the Borehole Location Plan, *Figure B2* in *Appendix B*.

Standard penetration testing (ASTM D1586) and sampling in the overburden soils were carried out at regular intervals of depth in BH16-1 to BH16-4 using conventional 38 mm internal diameter split spoon sampling equipment driven by an automatic hammer. Bedrock coring was carried out in the all the boreholes.

The groundwater conditions were noted in the open boreholes during and upon completion of drilling and monitoring wells were installed in all the boreholes, following the completion of drilling, to allow for groundwater measurements. Each monitoring well consists of a 50 mm diameter PVC pipe, with a slotted screen sealed at a selected depth within the borehole. A sand filter pack surrounded the screen, and above the screen the borehole and annulus surrounding the well pipe were backfilled to the surface with bentonite. The well installation details and water level readings are presented on the Record of Borehole sheets in *Appendix C*.

The field work was observed by members of Golder's technical staff, who located the boreholes, arranged for the clearance of underground utility services, observed the drilling, sampling and in situ testing operations, logged the boreholes, and examined and cared for the recovered soil and rock samples. The samples were identified in the field, placed in appropriate containers, labelled and transported to Golder's Markham geotechnical laboratory for further examination and selected laboratory testing.

Unconfined uniaxial compression tests were carried out on selected rock samples by Geomechanica Inc. located in Toronto, Ontario. The results of the geotechnical laboratory tests are included in *Appendix D*, Golder, 2017, Project 1668252.

A hydrogeological program was conducted in conjunction with the geotechnical program. This included:

- Installation of monitoring wells in the boreholes;
- Measurement of groundwater levels in the wells to determine depth to groundwater and determining groundwater elevations for development of a groundwater contour plan;

- Slug testing in monitoring wells to estimate the hydraulic conductivity of the bedrock; and,
- Estimation of groundwater inflow into the excavation to evaluate dewatering rates and the potential requirement for an Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR) application or a Permit to Take Water (PTTW).

A total of four (4) monitoring wells were installed in the boreholes at the site, between November 30 and December 7, 2016. The monitoring wells were installed in the bedrock and constructed using 50 mm diameter No. 10 slot well screen and riser pipe with sand filter and bentonite seals. The wells are protected at the surface by lockable steel casings. The survey at the top of the riser pipe and ground surface was completed using a handheld Trimble unit with an accuracy of 0.02 m.

The monitoring wells were surveyed using a Trimble GPS on January 25, 2017. The ground surface and top of pipe elevations in metres above sea level (masl) were surveyed and a summary is provided in the table below:

Monitoring Well	Ground Surface Elevation (masl)	Top of Pipe Elevation (masl)
MW16-1	166.85	167.72
MW16-2	169.30	170.11
MW16-3	168.78	169.65
MW16-4	171.76	171.72

Table 1: Monitoring Well Survey Data

Groundwater levels were measured in the monitoring wells installed in the bedrock and the results are provided in **Section 4.3.7.**

The groundwater levels range between 10.04 and 12.22 m below top of pipe, corresponding to a range in elevations of 157.679 to 159.960 masl. The groundwater levels were contoured for the site area using the groundwater level elevations measured on December 23, 2016. The groundwater level contour plan is shown on *Figure B3*. The groundwater level contours ranged from 159.5 to 158.0 masl and decrease toward the Niagara River Valley. This indicated an easterly flow of groundwater across the site toward areas of discharge on the rock face of the adjacent river valley.

4.0 SITE GEOLOGY AND STRATIGRAPHY

4.1 Regional Geology

The surficial geology aspects of the general site area are presented in the following publication:

Chapman, L.J., and Putnam, D.F., 2007, *"The Physiography of Southern Ontario"*; 4th Edition, Ontario Geological Survey.

Physiographic mapping in the area according to the above noted reference indicates that the site lies within the physiographic region of southern Ontario known as the Haldimand Clay Plain. The Haldimand Clay Plain lies between the Niagara Escarpment and Lake Erie occupying all of the Niagara Peninsula except the fruit belt below the escarpment. The underlying rocks consist of a succession of Paleozoic beds dipping slightly southward under Lake Erie. The vertical cliffs along the brow of the escarpment are formed of dolostone of the Lockport Formation

and this formation underlies a narrow strip of the plain to be succeeded southward by the dolostone to the Guelph Formation.

The surficial geology mapping indicates that the site lies close to the border of regions consisting of sand plains and older alluvial deposits (clay, silt, sand and gravel).

The overburden subsurface conditions encountered during the investigation are variable and reflect the geological mapping.

4.2 Background Information

A previous geotechnical investigation was carried out at the site by AMEC Earth & Environmental. The details of this investigation were presented in a report titled, "*Geotechnical Investigation, The Residences at River Road, 5471/5491/5507 River Road, Niagara Falls, Ontario*," dated January 2006, Report No. TG53110 (AMEC 2006).

During the investigation carried out in 2006, six boreholes were drilled, and bedrock coring was carried out in three boreholes. An extract from AMEC 2006 is presented for reference in *Appendix E*.

4.3 Subsurface Conditions

The detailed subsurface soil and groundwater conditions encountered in the boreholes advanced at this site for this report are shown on the Record of Borehole sheets in *Appendix C*. Methods of Soil Classification, Symbols and Terms used on Records of Boreholes and Test Pits are provided to assist in the interpretation of the Record of Borehole sheets. The detailed results of geotechnical laboratory testing on selected rock samples are presented in *Appendix D*.

The Record of Borehole sheets indicate the subsurface conditions at the borehole locations only. The stratigraphic boundaries shown on the borehole records are inferred from non-continuous sampling, observations of drilling progress as well as results of Standard Penetration Tests and, therefore, represent transitions between soil types rather than exact planes of geological/stratigraphic change. The SPT "N"-values presented in the Record of Borehole sheets and discussed herein are uncorrected. Subsurface soil and underlying rock conditions will vary between and beyond the borehole locations.

The subsurface information from the boreholes generally indicates variable overburden deposits of both cohesive soils (silty clay fill, silt clay and silty clay till) and non-cohesive soils (silt, sandy silt to silty sand and sandy gravel to gravelly sand as well as silt and sand till), overlying the bedrock consisting of Dolostone of the Lockport Formation.

4.3.1 Topsoil/Fill

Topsoil was encountered in BH16-1 and BH16-4 and the thicknesses were measured at about 180 mm and 150 mm, respectively.

A deposit of silty clay fill was encountered in BH16-2 and extended to a depth of about 0.6 m (Elevation 168.7 m). A single Standard Penetration Test (SPT) carried out within the fill measured an "N"-value of 4 blows per 0.3 m penetration, suggesting a firm consistency.

4.3.2 Silty Clay

A deposit of silty clay was encountered underlying the topsoil at BH16-1 and extended to bedrock at a depth of about 3.6 m (Elevation 163.2 m). SPTs carried out within the silty clay deposit measured "N"-values ranging from 1 blow to 20 blows per 0.3 m of penetration suggesting a very soft to very stiff consistency.

4.3.3 Silty Sand to Silt

Non-cohesive deposits ranging from silty sand to silt were encountered in BH16-2 and BH16-3. In BH16-3, seams of gravelly sand to sandy gravel were encountered. SPTs carried out within the silty sand to silt deposit measured "N"-values ranging from 4 blows per 0.3 m of penetration to 50 blows per 0.1 m of penetration indicating a loose to very dense state of compactness.

4.3.4 Silty Clay Till

A deposit of silty clay till was encountered under the topsoil at BH16-4 and extended to a depth of about 1.4 m (Elevation 170.4 m). SPTs carried out within the silty clay till deposit measured "N"-values of 11 blows and 48 blows per 0.3 m of penetration suggesting a stiff to hard consistency.

4.3.5 Silty Sand and Gravel Till

A deposit of silty sand and gravel till was encountered under the silty clay till deposit at BH16-4 and extended to bedrock a depth of about 2.4 m (Elevation 169.4 m). A single SPT carried out within the silty sand and gravel till deposit measured an "N"-value 76 blows per 0.3 m of penetration indicating a very dense state of compactness.

4.3.6 Bedrock

The bedrock consists of dolostone belonging to the Lockport Formation. Both the Goat Island and Gasport Members of the Lockport Formation are present within all four boreholes. The Goat Island Member can be generally described as slightly weathered to fresh, thinly to thickly bedded, grey, fine grained, argillaceous dolostone with vugs and nodules consisting of calcite, chert, and gypsum. The Gasport Member can be generally described as fresh, medium to thickly bedded, grey, fine to medium grained, crinoidal dolostone.

The top of bedrock was encountered in all four boreholes (BH16-1 to BH16-4) from about 1.7 m to 3.6 m below ground surface. Based on the borehole data, the top of bedrock elevations range from 169.4 m (BH16-4) at the west end of the site to 163.2 m (BH16-1) at the east end of the site.

Borehole No.	Ground Surface Elevation (masl)	Depth to Bedrock below Existing Ground Surface (m)	Elevation of Bedrock Surface (m)	Bottom of Borehole Elevation (m)
BH16-1	166.8	3.6	163.2	149.9
BH16-2	169.3	1.7	167.7	150.2
BH16-3	168.8	2.4	166.4	149.6
BH16-4	171.8	2.4	169.4	149.8

Slightly weathered bedrock was encountered at the top of boreholes BH16-2 and BH16-4 ranging from 0.9 m to 2.9 m thick, whereas BH16-1 and BH16-3 were fresh with no visible signs of weathering at the top of bedrock. The RQD ranges from 65 to 100 per cent across all four boreholes with an average of 95 per cent. For detailed RQD values refer to the Record of Drillhole logs.

A total of ten unconfined compressive strength (UCS) laboratory tests were completed on drill core samples to assess the intact rock strength. The samples were collected from all four boreholes within both rock members in an effort to characterize the range of rock strengths at the site. The results ranged from 62.7 MPa to 218.2 MPa which can be described as strong to very strong rock. The results did not appear to vary greatly among different formations, however, one sample taken within a porous section of the Gasport Member had a significantly lower UCS of 62.7 MPa compared to the average of 150.4 MPa. The result of the UCS laboratory tests are presented in *Appendix D* and summarize below:

Sample	Depth from (m)	Depth to (m)	Bulk Density (g/cm3)	UCS (MPa)
BH16-1 Sample 1	9.79	10.04	2.77	132.1
BH16-1 Sample 2	15.61	15.80	2.74	157.3
BH16-2 Sample 1	17.00	17.17	2.75	218.2
BH16-2 Sample 2	18.15	18.38	2.71	129.0
BH16-3 Sample 1	6.82	7.05	2.67	195.7
BH16-3 Sample 2	13.77	14.02	2.46	62.7
BH16-3 Sample 3	18.75	18.96	2.70	129.7
BH16-4 Sample 1	8.82	9.06	2.75	176.3
BH16-4 Sample 2	20.26	20.47	2.74	143.5
BH16-4 Sample 3	21.52	21.83	2.70	159.3

Table 3: Summary of UCS Test Result

4.3.7 Groundwater Measurements

The groundwater conditions encountered in each of the boreholes are shown in detail on the Record of Borehole sheets given in *Appendix C*, following the text of this report. A summary of the groundwater level readings are shown below:

 Table 4: Groundwater Level Measurements

Date	Groundwater Measurement (m) Depth/(Elevation)			
	BH16-1	BH16-2	BH16-3	BH16-4
December 5, 2016	9.1 (157.7)	-	10.7 (156.1)	-
December 6, 2016	9.2	11.4	10.9	-

Date		Groundwater M Depth/(E	easurement (m) levation)	
	BH16-1	BH16-2	BH16-3	BH16-4
	(157.6)	(155.4)	(155.9)	
December 7, 2016	9.2	11.4	10.8	11.3
	(157.6)	(155.4)	(156.0)	(155.5)
December 19, 2016	9.2	11.4	10.9	11.9
	(157.6)	(155.4)	(155.9)	(154.9)
December 21, 2016	9.3	11.4	10.9	11.9
	(157.5)	(155.4)	(155.9)	(154.9)
December 23, 2016	9.1	11.4	10.8	11.8
	(157.7)	(157.9)	(158.0)	(160.0)

It should be noted that the groundwater measurements reflect the groundwater conditions encountered in the boreholes at the time of the field work in December 2016. Groundwater levels at the site are anticipated to fluctuate with seasonal variations in precipitation and snowmelt.

5.0 DISCUSSION AND GEOTECHNICAL/HYDROGEOLOGICAL RECOMMENDATIONS

This section of the report provides engineering information on and recommendations for the geotechnical design aspects of the project based on our interpretation of the borehole information, the laboratory test data and on our understanding of the project requirements. The information in this portion of the report is provided for planning and design purposes for the guidance of the design engineers and architects. Where comments are made on construction, they are provided only in order to highlight aspects of construction which could affect the design of the project. Contractors bidding on or undertaking any work at the site should examine the factual results of the investigation, satisfy themselves as to the adequacy of the information for construction and make their own interpretation of the factual data as it affects their proposed construction techniques, schedule, equipment capabilities, costs, sequencing and the like.

5.1 Foundation Design

Based on the architectural drawings issued for OPA/Re-Zoning and prepared by Icke Brochu Architects Inc. and dated September 23, 2019 and site layout plans, the parking garage and condominium buildings will require excavation within the bedrock. The information and site plans provided at the time of writing this report, the proposed FFE of the ground floor will be 170.5 m, and assumed P3 basement level FFE will be at elevation 160.85 m. As such, it is anticipated that columns and walls can be founded on spread footings or strip footings on bedrock. If footings are required at different elevations, then the lower footings should be located outside of a line drawn at a 45 degree angle downwards from the outside edge of the upper footing.

Spread footings placed on slightly weathered to fresh bedrock may be designed for an unfactored geotechnical resistance at Ultimate Limiting States (ULS) of 40 MPa or a factored ULS of 20 MPa using a resistance factor of 0.5. It is recommended that the footings be founded on a flat lying surface to convey loads vertically to the bedrock. Any

load inclination and eccentricity should be accounted for in design as it will alter the recommended ULS value. Serviceability Limiting States (SLS) do not govern the design as only minimal settlement is expected for typical spread footings (actual anticipated settlement can be assessed when the footing sizes are determined).

Resistance to sliding of the foundations founded on bedrock can be analyzed using an unfactored ULS friction angle of 30 degrees between the concrete of the footing and the underlying dolostone bedrock; the resulting coefficient of friction is 0.58.

All footing excavations must be inspected prior to placing concrete to ensure the footing base has been adequately cleaned and that the bedrock conditions exposed at the founding level are consistent with the design assumptions. Where possible the footing foundations should be excavated to provide a flat bearing surface at right angles to the axis of the load. Based on the drillhole logs and previous experience in the area the Lockport Formation is known to contain small vugs (small voids). Any vugs within the bedrock foundations should not make up more than 10% of the bearing surface area of the footing and no individual void should be greater than 20 cm in maximum dimension. If vugs or cavities are encountered in the bedrock foundations, then additional probe holes will be required on a 1 m by 1 m pattern across the foundations to a depth of twice the footing width. Results of the probe drilling should be communicated to Golder in order to review the bearing capacity of the bedrock.

All exterior footings and footings in unheated areas should be provided with at least 1.2 m of cover after final grading, in order to minimize the potential for damage due to frost action.

5.2 Temporary Excavation and Support

Construction of the underground parking levels will extend to depths of about 9.6 m (Elevations 160.8 m) below the ground level FFE to the basement level FFE level and footing bases and elevator shafts are anticipated to be about 1 m to 2.5 m below the basement level FFE. The excavation for the proposed buildings will extend through the variable overburden and into the underlying bedrock described in detail in **Section 4.0**. The depth of the excavation into the bedrock at the borehole locations will vary from about 1 m to 5 m. It is anticipated that excavation into the overburden materials can be achieved with conventional hydraulic excavating equipment. However, excavation into bedrock will required blasting or mechanical excavation using mechanical rock breakers and line drilling.

5.2.1 Overburden

All excavations should be carried out in accordance with the Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA) and Regulations for Construction Projects. Depending space available unsupported open-cut excavations may be feasible at this site. Based OHSA, the overburden soils are generally classified as Type 3 soils and all excavations through these soils should be sloped no steeper than 1 horizontal to 1 vertical subject to inspection by Golder at the time of construction. It should be noted that where very soft silty clay is encountered it would be classified as Type 4 and those areas will require temporary slopes of 3 horizontal to 1 vertical or some form of approved soil support.

If space is not available for open cut excavations, then some form of temporary shoring will be needed to support the excavations for the proposed buildings. In general, there are three basic shoring methods that are commonly used in local practice: steel soldier piles and timber lagging, driven interlocking steel sheet piles and continuous concrete (secant pile or diaphragm) walls, each with appropriate lateral support.

The shoring method(s) selected to support the excavation must take into account the soil stratigraphy, the groundwater conditions, the methods adopted to control the groundwater, effects of weather and the ground movements associated with the shoring system stiffness and their impact on adjacent settlement sensitive

structures and utilities. These shoring systems may need to be stiffened with either an external (i.e., tie-backs) or internal (i.e., rakers) shoring system to limit the size of structural members and reduce lateral ground movements.

Steel sheet pile will not be feasible due to the underlying bedrock. If temporary support is required, steel soldier pile installed in pre-augered sockets, with timber lagging may be suitable. A soldier pile and lagging wall may only be considered for excavation support provided there are not any settlement sensitive utilities or structures within the zone influence of the shoring.

The shoring system should be designed to account for horizontal/lateral earth loads, surcharge loads, groundwater pressure and the effects of weather as well as the project requirements for controlling ground displacements. Lateral pressures for design of the temporary structures will depend on the temporary structure design and the nature of the lateral support provided. The distribution of lateral pressures on a shoring system depends greatly on the methods used, the stiffness, and the degree of lateral bracing. As such, the distribution of lateral earth pressures for such a bracing system is best left to the ultimate specialist designer of the shoring who can best account for such conditions. It is a common practice for a specialist contractor to design and install the excavation support system.

5.2.2 Bedrock

Excavation in Lockport Formation dolostone bedrock can most efficiently be carried out through the use of drill and blast techniques. Since this is a residential area, before blasting is considered as an excavation method a blast impact assessment should be carried out. If blasting is allowed, then it should be carried out by an experienced specialist contractor under the design criteria specified by a specialist blasting and vibration monitoring firm. It should be noted that even with careful blasting procedures, a significant difference in elevation levels across the excavations could still result in this bedrock. In addition to the recommendations contained in this report, all blasting should be carried out in compliance with the latest version of Ontario Provincial Standard Specification (OPSS) 120. This includes, but is not limited to, providing the contract administrator with a complete blasting plan for independent review prior to the commencement of blasting and completing a pre-blast survey of all structures within 150 m of the blasting operations.

If blasting is not allowed, then the rock will need to be excavated using mechanical excavation methods which will be very slow. Line drilling of the final perimeter for mechanical excavation will be required to maintain neat excavation lines and minimize over-break or over-excavation. Large hydraulic rock breakers with sufficient percussive force to break the rock will be required if blasting is not allowed. In either case, pre and post condition surveys are recommended on structures that could be impacted by the construction activities.

It is anticipated the excavation into the bedrock will have vertical cut faces. The stability of the vertical cuts in the bedrock will depend on the presence, orientation and continuity of joints or bedding planes and whether they intersect the surface causing unstable wedges or blocks. During excavation in the bedrock, all rock faces should be scaled to remove all loose, unstable rock as the excavation progresses downward. The excavations should be progressively inspected by Golder to check for any unstable rock and to determine if the final rock faces have been supported by methods such as rock bolts, mesh, shotcrete etc. It should be anticipated that double twist wire mesh, draped over the final rock excavation walls from the top of the bedrock to approximately 2 m above the final bottom elevation will be required for all rock faces deeper than approximately 2 m.

During the winter months, groundwater inflow into the excavation will have a tendency to freeze and therefore ice can build up on the rock faces. The contractor will need to regularly inspect the rock faces for ice accumulation and any build-up of ice will need to be removed.

5.2.3 Vibration Monitoring

Excavation into bedrock will cause vibrations which will influence the surrounding structures; therefore, a vibration monitoring program should be implemented during construction to monitor and limit vibration effects on the structures within the area of influence. The method and equipment selected for the excavation by the contractor should take into consideration the vibration limits of the site.

5.3 Lateral Earth Pressure for Basement Walls in Overburden

The design of the foundation walls for the permanent basement levels should take into account the horizontal soil loads, hydrostatic pressure, as well as surcharge loads that may occur during or after construction. The permanent below-grade wall is considered to be a rigid structure (assuming that the floor diaphragm system over the multiple levels of below-grade parking will result in little lateral movement of the basement walls) and should be designed to resist at-rest lateral earth pressures calculated as follows:

 $p = K(\gamma h + q)$

	where:	
р	=	lateral earth pressure acting depth z, kilopascals
K = k	< ₀ =	at rest earth pressure coefficient, use 0.5 for the foundation wall
K = k	K _a =	active pressure coefficient, use 0.33 for the foundation wall
γ	=	unit weight of retained soil/backfill, a value of 21 kilonewtons/cubic metre may be
		assumed
h	=	depth to point of interest in soil, metres
q	=	equivalent value of surcharge on the ground surface, kilopascals

The above expression assumes that the perimeter drainage system prevents the build-up of any hydrostatic pressure behind the wall. Should hydrostatic pressures be considered to build-up behind the walls, they must be included in calculating the lateral earth pressures and other measures to address possible buoyancy and waterproofing may need to be considered. The lateral earth pressures acting on the below grade walls will depend on the type and method of placement of the backfill materials, on the nature of the soils behind the shoring, on the magnitude of surcharge including construction loadings, on the freedom of lateral movement of the structure, and on the drainage conditions behind the walls. For design of the basement walls, the "at-rest" earth pressures given above may be used where the width of non-native backfill behind the wall (e.g., imported granulars) is less than 5 m wide. Surcharge pressures from the adjacent foundations and/or roads should also be included in the design as indicated.

All foundation elements in unheated areas must be provided with at least 1.2 m of earth cover for frost protection purposes. In addition, the bearing soil and fresh concrete should be protected from freezing during cold weather construction.

To avoid detrimental impacts from frost adhesion and heaving, the excavated areas behind foundation walls for the basement level or any below grade foundation elements (perimeter grade beams) should be backfilled with non-frost susceptible granular material conforming to the requirements for OPSS.MUNI 1010 Granular "B" Type I material. In areas where pavement or other hard surfacing will abut the building, differential frost heaving could occur between the granular fill immediately adjacent to the building and the more frost susceptible native materials which exist beyond the wall backfill. To reduce the severity of this differential heaving, the backfill adjacent to the wall should be

placed to form a frost taper. The frost taper should be brought up to pavement subgrade level from 1.2 m below finished exterior grade at a slope of 3 horizontal to 1 vertical, or flatter, away from the wall. The backfill materials should be placed evenly in lifts not exceeding 200 mm loose thickness. The layers should be compacted to at least 95 per cent of the material's standard proctor maximum dry density (SPMDD). Light compaction equipment should be used immediately adjacent to the wall; otherwise compaction stresses on the wall may be greater than that imposed by the backfill material. The upper 0.3 metres of backfill should consist of clayey material (where appropriate) to provide a relatively low-permeability cap and the exterior grade should also be shaped to slope away from the building.

The lateral earth pressure equation outlined above is given in an unfactored format and will need to be factored for Limit States Design purposes.

5.4 Slab-on-Grade Floor

Based on the lowest elevations for the underground parking level, it is anticipated that the lowest floor slab can be constructed as a slab-on-grade on bedrock. The final rock surface should be cleared of any loose or shattered rock and debris.

The final lift of granular fill beneath floor slabs should consist of a minimum thickness of 200 mm of OPSS Granular 'A' material acting as a moisture barrier, placed in maximum 200 mm loose lifts and uniformly compacted to at least 98 per cent of Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (SPMDD). Any filling operations should be inspected and tested by Golder. Additional Granular 'A' material may be needed to provide adequate pipe bedding and cover, depending on the requirements for an under-slab drainage system and also to fill in low areas. A nominally compacted 19 mm clear aggregate may be used instead of compacted Granular 'A'.

The floor slabs should be structurally separate from the foundation walls and columns. Sawcut control joints should be provided at regular intervals and along column lines to minimize shrinkage cracking and to allow for any differential settlement of the floor slabs.

5.5 Permanent Drainage

An underfloor drainage (i.e. below the lowest garage level) and perimeter drainage system are recommended for the proposed development.

The extent of drainage measures such as a composite synthetic drainage system or equivalent, under slab drainage and sump system should be assessed during the final design stages and Golder can provide geotechnical input as required.

An under-floor drainage system, connected to sumps beneath the lowest level, should be provided to collect seepage on the underside of the floor slab. The subfloor drainage system may consist of a network of filtered robust sub-drain pipes conveying collected groundwater to a sump or sumps from which the groundwater can be pumped to a municipal sewer. The drainage system would consist of interconnected perforated drain pipes (bedded on and with free draining granular soils wrapped in geotextile fabric) installed around the perimeter of the building and within the building footprint.

Drainage, such as through the use of a composite synthetic drainage system or equivalent, should be provided to the exterior walls of the underground parking levels. The composite drain must withstand the design horizontal earth pressures used for basement wall design and should be connected to the basement level under-slab drainage

system or perimeter drainage system. The drainage system collector pipes should drain to a sump for collection and discharge to a sewer.

5.6 Site Classification for Seismic Site Response

Seismic hazard is defined in the 2012 Ontario Building Code (OBC, 2012) by uniform hazard spectra (UHS) at spectral coordinates of 0.2 second, 0.5 second, 1.0 second and 2.0 seconds and a probability of exceedance of 2% in 50 years. The OBC method uses a site classification system defined by the average soil/bedrock properties (e.g. shear wave velocity, Standard Penetration Test (SPT) resistance, undrained soil shear strength, etc.) in the 30 m below the foundation level. There are 6 site classes from A to F, decreasing in ground stiffness from A, hard rock, to E, soft soil; with site class F used to denote problematic soils (e.g. sites underlain by thick peat deposits and/or liquefiable soils). The site class is then used to obtain acceleration and velocity-based site coefficients F_a and F_v , respectively, used to modify the UHS to account for the effects of site-specific soil conditions in design.

Based on the borehole information and OBC, for footings founded at the elevations discussed in **Section 5.1** above, **Site Class C** may be used for design. A higher site class may be available but will required vertical seismic profile (VSP) testing to be carried out.

5.7 Hydraulic Conductivity

Hydraulic conductivity tests were conducted in each of the monitoring wells, which were installed in the bedrock, on December 21, 2016. The tests were performed using slug testing methodology by quickly lowering a solid cylinder into the well and measuring the resultant rise in water levels to static conditions and subsequent fall in water levels when the slug was removed using a pressure transducer. The hydraulic conductivity of the screened bedrock was interpreted from the water level displacement data using the Bouwer-Rice formula as follows:

$$K = \frac{r_c^2 \ln\left(\frac{R_e}{R}\right)}{2L_e} \frac{1}{t} \ln\left(\frac{H_o}{H_t}\right)$$

Where: K = hydraulic conductivity

- r_c = radius of the well (standpipe)
- R = radius of the sand pack
- Re = radial distance over which head is dissipated
- L_e = length of the screen
- H_o = drawdown at time t = 0
- H_t = drawdown at time t = t
- t = time since $H = H_o$

The slug test data was analyzed using the Aqtesolv Pro 4.5 software program. The hydraulic conductivities estimated from the results of the rising head and falling head tests are provided in the table below. The Aqtesolv analyses from these tests are included in *Appendix F*.

	-
Well No.	Hydraulic Conductivity (m/s)
BH16-1	9 x 10 ⁻⁶
BH16-2	4 x 10 ⁻⁶
BH16-3	3 x 10 ⁻⁶ to 9 x 10 ⁻⁶
BH16-4	2 x 10 ⁻⁷ to 6 x 10 ⁻⁷

Table 5: Hydraulic Conductivity Test Results

The hydraulic conductivity estimates of the bedrock ranged from was $9x10^{-6}$ m/s to $2x10^{-7}$ m/s. The estimates of hydraulic conductivity should be considered an indicator of the hydraulic properties and not a definitive measure of the formation behaviour.

5.8 Groundwater Inflow Estimate

An estimate of the groundwater inflow into the excavation was made to evaluate potential dewatering rates and the potential requirements for an EASR application or a PTTW for temporary construction dewatering. Water takings in excess of 50 m³/day are regulated by the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP_. Certain takings of groundwater and storm water with a combined taking less than 400 m³/day for construction site dewatering purposes qualify for registration on the MECP's Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR). A Category 3 PTTW is required where the proposed water taking is greater than 400 m³/day.

The methodology and results of the dewatering are discussed below.

The groundwater inflow was calculated based on the following equation for an unconfined aquifer dewatering in an excavation.

 $Q = (K(H^2-h^2)/.733(Log(R_o/r_w)))$

Where: $Q = Discharge (m^3/day)$

- K = Hydraulic Conductivity (m/day)
- H = Static height (m)
- h = dewatering height (m)
- rw = Slot radius (m)
- R_o = radius of influence (m)

Based on a review of the latest site plan, we have considered the lowest basement level FFE at 160.8 m and with maximum depth of excavation at elevation of 159.8 m to construct footings, and elevation of 158.3 m for elevator shafts and a ramp. The groundwater elevation in the area of the parking garage ranges from 157.7 m to 160.0 m and the parking/foundation excavation is estimated to be about 1 to 3 m above the water table.

Based on maximum depth of excavation at elevation 159.8 m (and target dewatering elevation of 158.8 m), dewatering could be required based on our highest measured static water level elevation of 160 m in December 2016 at BH16-4. Worst case dewatering calculations were completed, based on a maximum static water level

elevation of 161 m, with a maximum drawdown of 2.2 m for the parking garage and a maximum drawdown of 3.7 m for the elevator shafts and ramp.

Using the highest measured K from the slug test analyses, 9*10⁻⁶ m/s, the steady state dewatering rate for groundwater is estimated to be approximately 240 m³/day, including 75 m³/day for the parking garage 90 m³/day for the three elevator shafts and an additional 75 m³/day for the ramp.

For shorter periods of time, higher pumping rates will be required to remove the volume of groundwater stored within the pore spaces of the soils within the dewatering zone of influence and to remove direct precipitation into the excavation. An additional 250 m³/day should be considered to remove the volume of groundwater water in storage to remove an estimated 3,490 m³ (based on removal within 14 days). This rate will decrease once the initial storage has been removed. Based on a removal of 30 mm precipitation event within 24 hours, an additional 230 m³/day should also be considered.

The sum of the steady state groundwater inflow rate, the initial removal of groundwater from storage and the management of incident precipitation is estimated to result in total construction dewater rates greater than 400 m³/day threshold for which a *Category 3 PTTW* is required by the MECP. This finding should be reviewed upon the completion of detailed design and the development of construction methods and plans.

6.0 ROCK SLOPE STABILITY ALONG RIVER ROAD

In order to address any concerns regarding the stability of the Niagara River gorge slopes near the site and any potential impact that the excavation work carried out for the development project might have on the stability of the gorge slopes, Golder has been requested to visually assess the slope conditions along River Road near the site and comment on the potential affects of blasting during construction.

On September 16, 2019, a geological engineer from Golder conducted a field visit to the project site to assess the geological conditions along the Niagara River gorge slope from Hiram Street to Eastwood Street. The purpose of the site visit was to visually inspect what can be seen of the rock slopes from the sidewalk of River Road in order identify any areas of potential instability.

Three sections along River Road were inspected by Golder on this site visit as shown in Figure 1, below:

- 1) the area between Hiram Street and John Street;
- 2) the area between John Street and Philip Street; and
- 3) the area between Philip Street to approximately 50 m NW of Eastwood Crescent.

The visual observations were limited by the available vantage points along the River Road sidewalk and were sometimes obscured by the vegetation along the crest of the slope.



Figure 1: Segments Inspected by Golder along River Road, Niagara Falls.

Along Segment 1, rock was visible in several locations and overhangs were observed at four locations. Where noted, the overhangs appeared to be approximately 1 m to 1.5 m thick vertically and the toe of the overhangs ranged between approximately 1 m to 3.5 m horizontally from the parapet wall bordering the sidewalk at the edge of River Road. Some of the visible rock faces can be described as planar, steeply dipping discontinuity surfaces.

This predominant discontinuity set was measured at three locations along Segment 1 and has an average dip and dip direction of 82°/189°. A typical overhang and discontinuity surface is shown in *Figure 2*. At one location along Segment 1, approximately 29 m SW of John Street, the rock face appeared to extend up to and possible slightly under the parapet wall next to the sidewalk; however, the exposed rock face at this location was not undermined below.



Figure 2: Typical overhang observed along Segment 1.

The slope was inspected along Segment 2 between John Street and Philip Street. Along this segment, the rock was not visible from the sidewalk and the slope crest was generally located farther from River Road compared to Segment 1. The horizontal distance between the crest of the slope and the parapet wall was noted to be between approximately 2 m and 10 m although the vegetation made it difficult to see the edge of the slope.

The slope was also inspected along Segment 3 between Philip Street to approximately 50 m NW of Eastwood Crescent. Similar to Segment 2, no rock was visible from the sidewalk along Segment 3. One overhang was observed approximately 45 m south of Eastwood Crescent, however, this overhang had no visible rock and

appeared to consist of overburden and vegetation about 0.8 m thick. The toe of this overhang was approximately 2 m to 2.5 m horizontally from the parapet wall and the overhang protruded approximately 1.5 m out from the toe.

Although bedrock excavation by blasting will result in ground vibrations in the rock along the gorge, the impact of this is expected to be relatively minor. In some circumstances where very loose, detached blocks or wedges of rock are present on the exposed surface of the rock face along the gorge (due to ongoing weathering and erosion), the blasting vibrations may cause some of these blocks or wedges to become unstable and fall into the gorge. However, many of these blocks or wedges would likely fall eventually due to the ongoing weathering including ice jacking in the winter months. The expected vibrations from the blasting, during the bedrock excavation, are not anticipated to have any significant impact on the larger overhangs, such as those described above, or the overall stability of the rock slopes along the gorge near the site. Therefore, a large failure which would compromise the sidewalk or roadway is not anticipated.

7.0 ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

The construction activities could impact the existing adjacent structures, utilities and buildings. Appropriate damage assessments (pre and post-condition surveys for example) should be carried out as necessary. Information related to the type, depth and design bearing capacities of the adjacent structures, utilities and sensitivity of adjacent buried services, should be collected and incorporated into the design.

At the time of preparation of this report, only conceptual site plans information for the proposed development were provided to us. Golder Associates should be retained to review the geotechnical aspects of the final design drawings and specifications prior to tendering and construction, to confirm that the intent of this report has been met. During construction, a sufficient degree of foundation inspections, subgrade inspections, and an adequate number of in-situ density tests and materials testing should be carried out to confirm that the conditions exposed are consistent with those encountered in the boreholes, and to monitor conformance to the pertinent project specifications. Concrete testing should be carried out of both the plastic material in the field and of set cylinder samples in a CSA certified Golder laboratory.

8.0 CLOSURE

We trust that this report provides sufficient geotechnical engineering information to facilitate the design of this project. If you have any questions regarding the contents of this report or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact this office

Signature Page

Golder Associates Ltd.

RAldulle

Rafael Abdulla, M.Eng., P.Eng., PMP Geotechnical Engineer

RS/AJH//MJT/BZ/SM/ra;io





Andrew Hagner, P.Eng., Associate Senior Geotechnical Engineer

Golder and the G logo are trademarks of Golder Associates Corporation

https://golderassociates.sharepoint.com/sites/115842/project files/6 deliverables/19127638 timedevcorp riverrd rpt 2019 2019 10'04.docx



APPENDIX A

Important Information and Limitations of This Report



IMPORTANT INFORMATION AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS REPORT

Standard of Care: Golder Associates Ltd. (Golder) has prepared this report in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the engineering and science professions currently practising under similar conditions in the jurisdiction in which the services are provided, subject to the time limits and physical constraints applicable to this report. No other warranty, expressed or implied is made.

Basis and Use of the Report: This report has been prepared for the specific site, design objective, development and purpose described to Golder by the Client. The factual data, interpretations and recommendations pertain to a specific project as described in this report and are not applicable to any other project or site location. Any change of site conditions, purpose, development plans or if the project is not initiated within eighteen months of the date of the report may alter the validity of the report. Golder cannot be responsible for use of this report, or portions thereof, unless Golder is requested to review and, if necessary, revise the report.

The information, recommendations and opinions expressed in this report are for the sole benefit of the Client. No other party may use or rely on this report or any portion thereof without Golder's express written consent. If the report was prepared to be included for a specific permit application process, then upon the reasonable request of the client, Golder may authorize in writing the use of this report by the regulatory agency as an Approved User for the specific and identified purpose of the applicable permit review process. Any other use of this report by others is prohibited and is without responsibility to Golder. The report, all plans, data, drawings and other documents as well as all electronic media prepared by Golder are considered its professional work product and shall remain the copyright property of Golder, who authorizes only the Client and Approved Users to make copies of the report, but only in such quantities as are reasonably necessary for the use of the report or any portion thereof to any other party without the express written permission of Golder. The Client acknowledges that electronic media is susceptible to unauthorized modification, deterioration and incompatibility and therefore the Client can not rely upon the electronic media versions of Golder's report or other work products.

The report is of a summary nature and is not intended to stand alone without reference to the instructions given to Golder by the Client, communications between Golder and the Client, and to any other reports prepared by Golder for the Client relative to the specific site described in the report. In order to properly understand the suggestions, recommendations and opinions expressed in this report, reference must be made to the whole of the report. Golder can not be responsible for use of portions of the report without reference to the entire report.

Unless otherwise stated, the suggestions, recommendations and opinions given in this report are intended only for the guidance of the Client in the design of the specific project. The extent and detail of investigations, including the number of test holes, necessary to determine all of the relevant conditions which may affect construction costs would normally be greater than has been carried out for design purposes. Contractors bidding on, or undertaking the work, should rely on their own investigations, as well as their own interpretations of the factual data presented in the report, as to how subsurface conditions may affect their work, including but not limited to proposed construction techniques, schedule, safety and equipment capabilities.

Soil, Rock and Ground Water Conditions: Classification and identification of soils, rocks, and geologic units have been based on commonly accepted methods employed in the practice of geotechnical engineering and related disciplines. Classification and identification of the type and condition of these materials or units involves judgment, and boundaries between different soil, rock or geologic types or units may be transitional rather than abrupt. Accordingly, Golder does not warrant or guarantee the exactness of the descriptions.

Special risks occur whenever engineering or related disciplines are applied to identify subsurface conditions and even a comprehensive investigation, sampling and testing program may fail to detect all or certain subsurface conditions. The environmental, geologic, geotechnical, geochemical and hydrogeologic conditions that Golder interprets to exist between and beyond sampling points may differ from those that actually exist. In addition to soil variability, fill of variable physical and chemical composition can be present over portions of the site or on adjacent properties. The professional services retained for this project include only the geotechnical aspects of the subsurface conditions at the site, unless otherwise specifically stated and identified in the report. The presence or implication(s) of possible surface and/or subsurface contamination resulting from previous activities or uses of the

Soil and groundwater conditions shown in the factual data and described in the report are the observed conditions at the time of their determination or measurement. Unless otherwise noted, those conditions form the basis of the recommendations in the report. Groundwater conditions may vary between and beyond reported locations and can be affected by annual, seasonal and meteorological conditions. The condition of the soil, rock and groundwater may be significantly altered by construction activities (traffic, excavation, groundwater level lowering, pile driving, blasting, etc.) on the site or on adjacent sites. Excavation may expose the soils to changes due to wetting, drying or frost. Unless otherwise indicated the soil must be protected from these changes during construction.

site and/or resulting from the introduction onto the site of materials from off-site sources are outside the terms of

reference for this project and have not been investigated or addressed.

Sample Disposal: Golder will dispose of all uncontaminated soil and/or rock samples 90 days following issue of this report or, upon written request of the Client, will store uncontaminated samples and materials at the Client's expense. In the event that actual contaminated soils, fills or groundwater are encountered or are inferred to be present, all contaminated samples shall remain the property and responsibility of the Client for proper disposal.

Follow-Up and Construction Services: All details of the design were not known at the time of submission of Golder's report. Golder should be retained to review the final design, project plans and documents prior to construction, to confirm that they are consistent with the intent of Golder's report.

During construction, Golder should be retained to perform sufficient and timely observations of encountered conditions to confirm and document that the subsurface conditions do not materially differ from those interpreted conditions considered in the preparation of Golder's report and to confirm and document that construction activities do not adversely affect the suggestions, recommendations and opinions contained in Golder's report. Adequate field review, observation and testing during construction are necessary for Golder to be able to provide letters of assurance, in accordance with the requirements of many regulatory authorities. In cases where this recommendation is not followed, Golder's responsibility is limited to interpreting accurately the information encountered at the borehole locations, at the time of their initial determination or measurement during the preparation of the Report.

Changed Conditions and Drainage: Where conditions encountered at the site differ significantly from those anticipated in this report, either due to natural variability of subsurface conditions or construction activities, it is a condition of this report that Golder be notified of any changes and be provided with an opportunity to review or revise the recommendations within this report. Recognition of changed soil and rock conditions requires experience and it is recommended that Golder be employed to visit the site with sufficient frequency to detect if conditions have changed significantly.

Drainage of subsurface water is commonly required either for temporary or permanent installations for the project. Improper design or construction of drainage or dewatering can have serious consequences. Golder takes no responsibility for the effects of drainage unless specifically involved in the detailed design and construction monitoring of the system.

APPENDIX B

Figure B1 – Key Plan Figure B2 – Borehole Location Plan Figure B3 – Shallow Bedrock Groundwater Flow





			A CONTRACTOR CONT	REGISTERED PL	AN 29.1	A Contraction of the second se
					NOT TO S ALL LOCATIONS ARE	CALE APPROXIMATE
LEGEND	APPROXIMATE BOREHOLE LOCATIONS OF CURRENT INVESTIGATION APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS OF 2006 BOREHOLES BY AMEC	CLIENT Times Group Corp.			PROJECT GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION HIGH-RISE RESIDENTIAL APARTM RIVER ROAD AND JOHN STREET,	ENT BUILDINGS NIAGARA FALLS, ONTARIO
	AWLO	CONSULTANT	YYYY-MM-DD	2019-09-26	TITLE	
REFERENCE(S) BASE PLAN PROVIDED BY KROMAR, ENTITLED "BOUNDARY AND			DESIGNED	RA	BOREHOLE LOCATION PLAN	
	OVIDED BY KRCMAR, ENTITLED "BOUNDARY AND					
OPOGRAPHIC	DVIDED BY KRCMAR, ENTITLED "BOUNDARY AND SURVEY OF, LOTS 84, 85 AND 86, AND, PART OF LOTS SIVE, AND, PART OF LOT 83, REGISTERED PLAN 294,	Colde	PREPARED	МК		
OPOGRAPHIC 2 TO 18, INCLUS ITY OF NIAGAR 0, 16-179, DRA	DVIDED BY KRCMAR, ENTITLED "BOUNDARY AND SURVEY OF, LOTS 84, 85 AND 86, AND, PART OF LOTS SIVE, AND, PART OF LOT 83, REGISTERED PLAN 294, RA FALLS, REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF NIAGARA", JOB WING NAME: 16-1798T01. DATED SEPTEMBER 14, 2016.	Golde	PREPARED ates REVIEWED	MK RA	PROJECT NO. CONTROL	REV. FIGI



		REGISTERED PLAN	
			0 10 20 30 m 1:500 APPROXIMATE TO SCALE ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE
LEGEND	CLIENT		PROJECT
PROPERTY LIMITS BOREHOLE LOCATION 156.78 STATIC WATER LEVEL, 19 Dec 2016	Times Group Corp.		GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION NEW MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT RIVER ROAD AND JOHN STREET, NIAGARA FALLS, ONTARIO
GROUNDWATER EQUIPOTENTIAL (masl)	CONSULTANT	YYYY-MM-DD 2019-09-26	TITLE
REFERENCE(S)	-	DESIGNED JPR	SHALLOW BEDROCK GROUNDWATER FLOW
Base Plan provided by KRCMAR, entitled "Boundary and Topographic	Colder	PREPARED JPR	
Survey", Job No. 16-179, Drawing Name: 16-179BT01, dated 14-Sep-2016	Associates	REVIEWED RA	PROJECT ND. CONTROL REV. FIGURE
reatures traced and aligned relative to Geodetic Datum UTM NAD 83 Zone 17		APPROVED AJH	19127638 B3

APPENDIX C

Method of Soil Classification Symbols and Terms used on Records of Boreholes and Test Pits List of Symbols Record of Borehole Sheets Boreholes and Drillholes BH16-1 to BH16-4

Organic or Inorganic	Soil Group	Туре	of Soil	Gradation or Plasticity	Cu	$=\frac{D_{60}}{D_{10}}$		$Cc = \frac{(D)}{D_{10}}$	$(xD_{60})^2$	Organic Content	USCS Group Symbol	Group Name
	<u> </u>	Gravels To <u>v</u> E with grading E ≤12%		Poorly Graded		<4		≤1 or ≥	:3		GP	GRAVEL
(ss)	5 mm	VELS / mas raction	fines (by mass)	Well Graded		≥4		1 to 3	3		GW	GRAVEL
, by ma	SOILS an 0.07	GRA 50% by oarse fr	Gravels with	Below A Line			n/a				GM	SILTY GRAVEL
GANIC it ≤30%	AINED arger th	(> cc larc	fines (by mass)	Above A Line		n/a			≤30%	GC	CLAYEY GRAVEL	
INOR	SE-GR ss is la	of is	Sands with	Poorly Graded		<6		≤1 or ≩	≥3		SP	SAND
rganic (COARS by ma	VDS / mass raction n 4.75	fines (by mass)	Well Graded		≥6		1 to 3	3		SW	SAND
0)	(>50%	SAI 50% by oarse f	Sands with	Below A Line			n/a				SM	SILTY SAND
		(≥ sma	fines (by mass)	Above A Line			n/a				SC	CLAYEY SAND
Organic	Soil	Turno	of Soil	Laboratory		F	ield Indic	ators	Toughness	Organic	USCS Group	Primary
Inorganic	Group	туре	01 301	Tests	Dilatancy	Dry Strength	Shine Test	Thread Diameter	(of 3 mm thread)	Content	Symbol	Name
				Liquid Limit	Rapid	None	None	>6 mm	roll 3 mm thread)	<5%	ML	SILT
(ss)	75 mm	S	icity low)	<50	Slow	None to Low	Dull	3mm to 6 mm	None to low	<5%	ML	CLAYEY SILT
by me	OILS an 0.0	SILTS tic or P	n Plast n Plast nart be		Slow to very slow	Low to medium	Dull to slight	3mm to 6 mm	Low	5% to 30%	OL	ORGANIC SILT
GANIC t ≤30%	NED S	-Plac		Liquid Limit	Slow to very slow	Low to medium	Slight	3mm to 6 mm	Low to medium	<5%	МН	CLAYEY SILT
INOR	E-GRAI	SN)		≥50	None	Medium to high	Dull to slight	1 mm to 3 mm	Medium to high	5% to 30%	ОН	ORGANIC SILT
rganic	FINE by mas		hart	Liquid Limit <30	None	Low to medium	Slight to shiny	~ 3 mm	Low to medium	0% to	CL	SILTY CLAY
0	≥50%	CLAYS	e A-Lir ticity C below)	Liquid Limit 30 to 50	None	Medium to high	Slight to shiny	1 mm to 3 mm	Medium	30%	CI	SILTY CLAY
			Plas	Liquid Limit ≥50	None	High	Shiny	<1 mm	High	(see Note 2)	СН	CLAY
×S	nic .30% ss)	Peat and mix	mineral soil tures							30% to 75%		SILTY PEAT, SANDY PEAT
HIGHL DRGAN SOIL	(Organ ntent > by mas	Predomir may con	nantly peat, Itain some							75%	PT	
40	ပိ	mineral so amorph	il, fibrous or nous peat							100%		PEAT
-	Low	Plasticity		Medium Plasticity	≺ Hig	h Plasticity		Dual Symbol — A dual symbol is two symbols separated by a hyphen, for example, GP-GM, SW-SC and CL-ML.				separated by ML.
					CLAY	Bud Tallit		For non-co	hesive soils,	the dual s	ymbols must b	e used when
30 -					СН			the soil h	as between I material b	5% and [•] etween "c	12% fines (i.e lean" and "di	e. to identify rtv" sand or
								gravel.				lity cana ci
idex (PI				CI	CLAYEY SI ORGANIC S	BILT OH		For cohes	ive soils, the	dual symb	ol must be us	ed when the
- 02 In				ime				of the plas	and plasticity	/ Index val ee Plastici	ues plot in the itv Chart at left	CL-IVIL area
Plas		SILTY O		*							,	,
10		CL						Borderlin	e Symbol —	A borderl	ine symbol is	two symbols
7	CLAYEY SILT ML ORGANIC SILT OL		A borderline symbol should be used to indicate that the soil				that the soil					
4	SILTY CLAY-CLAY	'EY SILT , CL-ML						has been	identified as	s having p	properties that	are on the
0	SILT ML (See Note 1)						transition b	between simil	ar materia	ls. In addition	a borderline
o	10	20	25.5 30 Li	40 5 quid Limit (LL)	0 60	70	80	symbol ma within a st	ay be used to ratum	indicate a	a range of simi	iar soil types
Note 1 – Fi slight plas	ne grained ticity. Fine-	materials wi grained mat	th PI and LL terials which	that plot in this a are non-plastic (area are nameo i.e. a PL canno	I (ML) SILT work the measure	rith ed) are	within a St				

The Golder Associates Ltd. Soil Classification System is based on the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS)

named SILT. Note 2 – For soils with <5% organic content, include the descriptor "trace organics" for soils with between 5% and 30% organic content include the prefix "organic" before the Primary name.

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED ON RECORDS OF BOREHOLES AND TEST PITS

PARTICI E SIZES OF CONSTITUENTS

Soil Constituent	Particle Size Description	Millimetres	Inches (US Std. Sieve Size)		
BOULDERS	Not Applicable	>300	>12		
COBBLES	Not Applicable	75 to 300	3 to 12		
GRAVEL	Coarse Fine	19 to 75 4.75 to 19	0.75 to 3 (4) to 0.75		
SAND	Coarse Medium Fine	2.00 to 4.75 0.425 to 2.00 0.075 to 0.425	(10) to (4) (40) to (10) (200) to (40)		
SILT/CLAY	Classified by plasticity	<0.075	< (200)		

MODIFIERS FOR SECONDARY AND MINOR CONSTITUENTS

Percentage by Mass	Modifier
>35	Use 'and' to combine major constituents (<i>i.e.</i> , SAND and GRAVEL)
> 12 to 35	Primary soil name prefixed with "gravelly, sandy, SILTY, CLAYEY" as applicable
> 5 to 12	some
≤ 5	trace

PENETRATION RESISTANCE

Standard Penetration Resistance (SPT), N:

The number of blows by a 63.5 kg (140 lb) hammer dropped 760 mm (30 in.) required to drive a 50 mm (2 in.) split-spoon sampler for a distance of 300 mm (12 in.). Values reported are as recorded in the field and are uncorrected.

Cone Penetration Test (CPT)

An electronic cone penetrometer with a 60° conical tip and a project end area of 10 cm² pushed through ground at a penetration rate of 2 cm/s. Measurements of tip resistance (q), porewater pressure (u) and sleeve frictions are recorded electronically at 25 mm penetration intervals.

Dynamic Cone Penetration Resistance (DCPT); Nd: The number of blows by a 63.5 kg (140 lb) hammer dropped 760 mm (30 in.) to drive uncased a 50 mm (2 in.) diameter, 60° cone attached to "A" size drill rods for a distance of 300 mm (12 in.).

- PH: Sampler advanced by hydraulic pressure
- PM: Sampler advanced by manual pressure
- WH: Sampler advanced by static weight of hammer
- WR: Sampler advanced by weight of sampler and rod

Compactness ²			
Term	SPT 'N' (blows/0.3m) ¹		
Very Loose	0 to 4		
Loose	4 to 10		
Compact	10 to 30		
Dense	30 to 50		
Very Dense	>50		

NON-COHESIVE (COHESIONLESS) SOILS

- 1. SPT 'N' in accordance with ASTM D1586, uncorrected for the effects of overburden pressure.
- Definition of compactness terms are based on SPT 'N' ranges as provided in Terzaghi, Peck and Mesri (1996). Many factors affect the recorded SPT 'N' 2. value, including hammer efficiency (which may be greater than 60% in automatic trip hammers), overburden pressure, groundwater conditions, and grainsize. As such, the recorded SPT 'N' value(s) should be considered only an approximate guide to the soil compactness. These factors need to be considered when evaluating the results, and the stated compactness terms should not be relied upon for design or construction.

Field Moisture Condition

Term	Description
Dry	Soil flows freely through fingers.
Moist	Soils are darker than in the dry condition and may feel cool.
Wet	As moist, but with free water forming on hands when handled.

SAMPLES	
AS	Auger sample
BS	Block sample
CS	Chunk sample
DD	Diamond Drilling
DO or DP	Seamless open ended, driven or pushed tube sampler – note size
DS	Denison type sample
GS	Grab Sample
MC	Modified California Samples
MS	Modified Shelby (for frozen soil)
RC	Rock core
SC	Soil core
SS	Split spoon sampler – note size
ST	Slotted tube
ТО	Thin-walled, open - note size (Shelby tube)
TP	Thin-walled, piston - note size (Shelby tube)
WS	Wash sample

SOIL TESTS

w	water content
PL, w _p	plastic limit
LL, wL	liquid limit
С	consolidation (oedometer) test
CHEM	chemical analysis (refer to text)
CID	consolidated isotropically drained triaxial test1
CIU	consolidated isotropically undrained triaxial test with porewater pressure measurement ¹
D _R	relative density (specific gravity, Gs)
DS	direct shear test
GS	specific gravity
М	sieve analysis for particle size
MH	combined sieve and hydrometer (H) analysis
MPC	Modified Proctor compaction test
SPC	Standard Proctor compaction test
OC	organic content test
SO ₄	concentration of water-soluble sulphates
UC	unconfined compression test
UU	unconsolidated undrained triaxial test
V (FV)	field vane (LV-laboratory vane test)
γ	unit weight

Tests anisotropically consolidated prior to shear are shown as CAD, CAU. 1.

	COHESIVE SOILS			
	Consistency			
Term	Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)	SPT 'N' ^{1,2} (blows/0.3m)		
Very Soft	<12	0 to 2		
Soft	12 to 25	2 to 4		
Firm	25 to 50	4 to 8		
Stiff	50 to 100	8 to 15		
Very Stiff	100 to 200	15 to 30		
Hard	>200	>30		

1. SPT 'N' in accordance with ASTM D1586, uncorrected for overburden pressure effects; approximate only.

SPT 'N' values should be considered ONLY an approximate guide to consistency; for sensitive clays (e.g., Champlain Sea clays), the N-value approximation for consistency terms does NOT apply. Rely on direct 2 measurement of undrained shear strength or other manual observations.

	Water Content			
Term	Term Description			
w < PL Material is estimated to be drier than the Plastic Limit.				
w ~ PL Material is estimated to be close to the Plastic Limit.				
w > PL	Material is estimated to be wetter than the Plastic Limit.			

Unless otherwise stated, the symbols employed in the report are as follows:

I.	GENERAL	(a) w	Index Properties (continued)
π	3.1416	w _l or LL	liquid limit
ln x	natural logarithm of x	w _p or PL	plastic limit
log ₁₀	x or log x, logarithm of x to base 10	Ip OF PI	plasticity index = $(W_l - W_p)$
y t	time		shrinkage limit
		IL	liquidity index = $(w - w_p) / I_p$
		lc	consistency index = $(w_l - w) / I_p$
		emax	void ratio in loosest state
		emin	void ratio in densest state
II.	STRESS AND STRAIN	ID	(formerly relative density) $(e_{max} - e_{min})$
	shear strain	(b)	Hydraulic Properties
γ Λ	change in e.g. in stress: A.g.	(b) h	hydraulic head or potential
<u>م</u> ٤	linear strain	a	rate of flow
εv	volumetric strain	V	velocity of flow
η	coefficient of viscosity	i	hydraulic gradient
υ	Poisson's ratio	k	hydraulic conductivity
σ	total stress		(coefficient of permeability)
σ	effective stress ($\sigma' = \sigma - u$)	j	seepage force per unit volume
σ'_{vo}	initial effective overburden stress		
σ1, σ2, σ3	principal stress (major, intermediate, minor)	(c)	Consolidation (one-dimensional)
	minory	C _c	compression index
σoct	mean stress or octahedral stress		(normally consolidated range)
	$= (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3)/3$	Cr	recompression index
τ	shear stress		(over-consolidated range)
u	porewater pressure	Cs	swelling index
E	modulus of deformation	Cα	secondary compression index
G	snear modulus of compressibility	m _v	coefficient of consolidation (vertical
ĸ	buik modulus of compressionity	Cv	direction)
		Ch	direction)
		Tv	time factor (vertical direction)
III.	SOIL PROPERTIES	U	degree of consolidation
(2)	Index Properties	σ [°] ^p	pre-consolidation stress
(a)	bulk density (bulk unit weight)*	OOK	$OVer-COnsolidation ratio = O_p / O_{Vo}$
04(M)	dry density (dry unit weight)	(d)	Shear Strength
ρw(γw)	density (unit weight) of water	τ _p , τ _r	peak and residual shear strength
ρs(γs)	density (unit weight) of solid particles	φ'	effective angle of internal friction
γ'	unit weight of submerged soil	δ	angle of interface friction
_	$(\gamma' = \gamma - \gamma_w)$	μ	coefficient of friction = tan δ
D _R	relative density (specific gravity) of solid	C'	effective cohesion
•	particles ($D_R = \rho_s / \rho_w$) (formerly G_s)	Cu, Su	undrained shear strength ($\phi = 0$ analysis)
e n	porosity	ρ ρ'	mean total stress $(\sigma_1 + \sigma_3)/2$
S	degree of saturation	p a	$(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)/2$ or $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)/2$
0		Ч Qu	compressive strength ($\sigma_1 - \sigma_3$)
		St	sensitivity
* D	ty overholic - Unit weight such - L'	Notoo: 1	
Where	ity symbol is p. Unit weight symbol is γ	2	$\tau = 0 + \sigma \tan \varphi$ shear strength = (compressive strength)/2
accele	eration due to gravity)	-	

The abbreviations commonly employed on Records of Boreholes, on figures and in the text of the report are as follows: Ш.

I. SAMPLE TYPE

- AS Auger sample
- BS Block sample
- CS Chunk sample
- DS Denison type sample
- Foil sample FS
- RC Rock core
- SC Soil core
- SS Split-spoon
- ST Slotted tube
- ТО Thin-walled, open
- TP Thin-walled, piston
- WS Wash sample

П. PENETRATION RESISTANCE

Standard Penetration Resistance (SPT), N:

The number of blows by a 63.5 kg. (140 lb.) hammer dropped 760 mm (30 in.) required to drive a 50 mm (2 in.) drive open sampler for a distance of 300 mm (12 in.)

Dynamic Cone Penetration Resistance; N_d:

The number of blows by a 63.5 kg (140 lb.) hammer dropped 760 mm (30 in.) to drive uncased a 50 mm (2 in.) diameter, 60° cone attached to "A" size drill rods for a distance of 300 mm (12 in.).

- PH: Sampler advanced by hydraulic pressure
- PM: Sampler advanced by manual pressure
- WH: Sampler advanced by static weight of hammer
- WR: Sampler advanced by weight of sampler and rod

Piezo-Cone Penetration Test (CPT)

A electronic cone penetrometer with a 60° conical tip and a project end area of 10 cm² pushed through ground at a penetration rate of 2 cm/s. Measurements of tip resistance (Qt), porewater pressure (PWP) and friction along a sleeve are recorded electronically at 25 mm penetration intervals.

V. MINOR SOIL CONSTITUENTS

Per cent by Weight Modifier

0 to	5	Trace
5 to	12	Trace to Some (or Little)
12 to	20	Some
20 to	30	(ey) or (y)
over	30	And (non-cohesive (cohesionless)) or
		With (cohesive)

SOIL DESCRIPTION

(a) Non-Cohesive (Cohesionless) Soils Compactness Ν Condition Blows/300 mm or Blows/ft Very loose 0 to 4 Loose 4 to 10 Compact 10 to 30 Dense 30 to 50

over 50

Cohesive Soils (b) Consistency

Very dense

		Cu, Su
	<u>kPa</u>	psf
Very soft	0 to 12	0 to 250
Soft	12 to 25	250 to 500
Firm	25 to 50	500 to 1,000
Stiff	50 to 100	1,000 to 2,000
Very stiff	100 to 200	2,000 to 4,000
Hard	over 200	over 4,000

SOIL TESTS

IV.

w	water content
Wp	plastic limit
WI	liquid limit
С	consolidation (oedometer) test
CHEM	chemical analysis (refer to text)
CID	consolidated isotropically drained triaxial test ¹
CIU	consolidated isotropically undrained triaxial test with porewater pressure measurement ¹
Dr	relative density (specific gravity, Gs)
DS	direct shear test
Μ	sieve analysis for particle size
MH	combined sieve and hydrometer (H) analysis
MPC	Modified Proctor compaction test
SPC	Standard Proctor compaction test
OC	organic content test
SO4	concentration of water-soluble sulphates
UC	unconfined compression test
UU	unconsolidated undrained triaxial test
V	field vane (LV-laboratory vane test)
γ	unit weight

Note: 1 Tests which are anisotropically consolidated prior to shear are shown as CAD, CAU.

> Example Trace sand Trace to some sand Some sand Sandy Sand and Gravel

Silty Clay with sand / Clayey Silt with sand

💊 GOLDER

WEATHERINGS STATE

Fresh: no visible sign of weathering

Faintly weathered: weathering limited to the surface of major discontinuities.

Slightly weathered: penetrative weathering developed on open discontinuity surfaces but only slight weathering of rock material.

Moderately weathered: weathering extends throughout the rock mass but the rock material is not friable.

Highly weathered: weathering extends throughout rock mass and the rock material is partly friable.

Completely weathered: rock is wholly decomposed and in a friable condition but the rock and structure are preserved.

BEDDING THICKNESS

Description	Bedding Plane Spacing		
Very thickly bedded	Greater than 2 m		
Thickly bedded	0.6 m to 2 m		
Medium bedded	0.2 m to 0.6 m		
Thinly bedded	60 mm to 0.2 m		
Very thinly bedded	20 mm to 60 mm		
Laminated	6 mm to 20 mm		
Thinly laminated	Less than 6 mm		

JOINT OR FOLIATION SPACING

Description	Spacing		
Very wide	Greater than 3 m		
Wide	1 m to 3 m		
Moderately close	0.3 m to 1 m		
Close	50 mm to 300 mm		
Very close	Less than 50 mm		

GRAIN SIZE

<u>Term</u>	<u>Size*</u>
Very Coarse Grained	Greater than 60 mm
Coarse Grained	2 mm to 60 mm
Medium Grained	60 microns to 2 mm
Fine Grained	2 microns to 60 microns
Very Fine Grained	Less than 2 microns

Note: * Grains greater than 60 microns diameter are visible to the naked eye.

CORE CONDITION



Total Core Recovery (TCR)

The percentage of solid drill core recovered regardless of guality or length, measured relative to the length of the total core run.

Solid Core Recovery (SCR)

The percentage of solid drill core, regardless of length, recovered at full diameter, measured relative to the length of the total core run.

Rock Quality Designation (RQD)

The percentage of solid drill core, greater than 100 mm length, recovered at full diameter, measured relative to the length of the total core run. RQD varied from 0% for completely broken core to 100% for core in solid sticks.

DISCONTINUITY DATA

Fracture Index

A count of the number of discontinuities (physical separations) in the rock core, including both naturally occurring fractures and mechanically induced breaks caused by drilling.

Dip with Respect to Core Axis

The angle of the discontinuity relative to the axis (length) of the core. In a vertical borehole a discontinuity with a 90° angle is horizontal.

Description and Notes

An abbreviation description of the discontinuities, whether naturally occurring separations such as fractures, bedding planes and foliation planes or mechanically induced features caused by drilling such as ground or shattered core and mechanically separated bedding or foliation surfaces. Additional information concerning the nature of fracture surfaces and infillings are also noted.

Abbreviations

JN	Joint	PL	Planar
FLT	Fault	CU	Curved
SH	Shear	UN	Undulating
VN	Vein	IR	Irregular
FR	Fracture	Κ	Slickensided
SY	Stylolite	PO	Polished
BD	Bedding	SM	Smooth
FO	Foliation	SR	Slightly Rough
СО	Contact	RO	Rough
AXJ	Axial Joint	VR	Very Rough
ΚV	Karstic Void		
MB	Mechanical Break		
PROJECT: 19127638 LOCATION: N 4773100.00; E 657257.00

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: BH-16-1

SHEET 1 OF 2 DATUM: Geodetic

BORING DATE: 11/29/2016

HAMMER TYPE: AUTOMATIC

SPT/DCPT HAMMER: MASS, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

										DATION			10/00		ONDUC	TI) (175) (T		_
	THOD		SOIL PROFILE		1	SA	MPL	.ES	RESISTANCE, BL	COWS/0.3	im ($\langle $	HYDRA	ULIC CO k, cm/s	UNDUC	HVITY,	Ţ	NG	PIEZOMETER	२
U L L	MET			PLOT		ER	ш	0.3m	20 40	60	80		10	⁻¹⁰ 1() ⁻⁸ 1	0 ⁻⁶ 1	0-4	TION ESTI	OR STANDPIPF	
	RING		DESCRIPTION	ATA I	DEPTH	JMBI	TYPE	//S//	SHEAR STRENG Cu, kPa	TH nat rem	V. + Q V.⊕ U	- •	WA	ATER CO		PERCE	NT	B. T	INSTALLATION	N
	BO			STR.	(m)	ž		BLO	20 40	60	80		Wp 2() 4	0 6	50 8	90 30			
0		GROUI	ND SURFACE		166.80															_
Ŭ		TOPS			0.00	1A														
1		(CL) S (UCL) Stem Arder cohesi	ILTY CLAY, some sand, some to gravelly; reddish-brown; ive, w <pl, soft<="" stiff="" td="" to="" very=""><td></td><td>0.18</td><td>1B 2 3</td><td>ss ss ss ss</td><td>20</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>50mm Diameter Monitoring Well</td><td></td></pl,>		0.18	1B 2 3	ss ss ss ss	20											50mm Diameter Monitoring Well	
3																				
-						5	ss	2												
	+	BEDR	ОСК		163.24 3.56															
		For be RECO	drock coring details refer to RD OF DRILLHOLE BH-16-1	氲																
4		Bedroo	ck cored between depths of																	
		3.56 m	n and 16.87 m																	
	Rig																			
	ounted																			
5	lok Mo																	Bentonite		
	75 T n				l															
	CME																			
				뤧																
_				讍																
6																				
		ω																		
		5																		
7	1	Ĭ																		
				Ë																
				副																
8																				
				Ē	l															
				jiii																
				副																
9				讍	Ĭ															
Ŭ																			 Dec. 7, 2016	
					L]															
					Ī															
10	_ L			-Filli	₹		+ -		$\vdash - + \vdash$	- – + ·	-						+			ſ
			CONTINUED INEXT FAGE						 *											-
DEI	PTH	SCALE								GG	lder							L	OGGED: SP	
1:4	50					Asse	ociate	es						CH	ECKED: MPL					

PROJECT:	19127638
LOCATION:	N 4773100.00; E 657257.00

BORING DATE: 11/29/2016

SHEET 2 OF 2

SPT/DCPT HAMMER: MASS, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

DATUM: Geodetic

	S	PT/C	CPT	HAMMER: MASS, 64kg; DROP, 760mm															HAMMER TYPE: AUTOMATIC			
ľ	JLE			SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES DYNAMIC PENETRATION \ HYDRAULIC CONDUCTI RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m \ k, cm/s											T	NG	PIEZOMETEI	R		
	DEPTH SCA METRES			DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	ТҮРЕ	BLOWS/0.3m	20 SHEAR STRE Cu, kPa 20	40 6 NGTH 1	50 8 ⊥ natV. + remV.⊕	30 Q - • U - ○	10 W/ Wp 2(ATER CO	0 ⁸ 10 DNTENT <u>0</u> 6	0 ⁻⁶ 10 PERCEN)-4 ⊥ 1T NI 0	ADDITION/ LAB. TESTI	OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATIO	: N	
ŀ	10			CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE							10 (5 7		0 0	0				
ROAD_NIAGARA_FALLS.GPJ_GAL-MIS.GDT_25/1/17	10	CME 75 Truck Mounted Rig	HQ Core																	Bentonite		
GARA_FALLS_RIVER_RD_AND_JOHN_ST\02_DATA\GINT\5507_RIVER_		5		END OF BOREHOLE NOTES: Date Groundwater measurement (m bgs) 12/5/16 9.1 12/6/16 9.2 12/7/16 9.2		<u>149.93</u> 16.87														Sand Sand with Screen		
3HS 001 S:\CLIENTS\TIME_DEVELOPMENT\NIA	- - - - - - - - - - - 20) EPT	H SC.	12/21/16 9.3															L	L	-	
GTA-E	1	: 50								4		JOIDE SOCIE	er ates						СН	ECKED: MPL		



	PROJECT: 19127638 RECORD OF DRILLHOLE: BH-16-1 SH											SHEE	ET 2 OF 2																
	IN	ICLI	NAT	ION: -90° AZIMUTH:			[DRIL	.LIN	DF G C	RILL	. RI TR/	G: ACT	CM TOF	1/29 IE 7 R: C	9/20 75 DBV	V Dr	rilling									DAIL	JM: Geodetic	
	EPTH SCALE METRES		LING RECORD	DESCRIPTION	MBOLIC LOG	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	RUN No.	SH RETURN	RE		HOL /ERY		GIC/	For AL /	- ab ANI	bre DG CT.	viati EO	ions, s TECH	NOTE: symbols and descri INICAL ROCK DES	otions CRIP	s re TIC				DGY	۲ +- 	EATURES	NOTE	S
	ä		DRIL		sΥ			FLU	CORI 88	₽8 88	CORE 889	% 22	884	52	0.25	im 28		5 26	TYPE AND SURFACE DESCRIPTION	Jr -	Ja <	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	14/4	- 22 - 22 -	8 8 8 8 8	×8 ×6			
		+		Fresh, thinly to medium bedded, grey, fine to medium grained, faintly porous, medium strong to very strong, crinoidal DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation]			7										•	•	JN,UN,RO BD,PL,RO	3	1							Bentonite	-
717	- - - - - -	Rotary Drill	HQ Core				8										•	•	JN,UN,RO,CC, Ca BD,PL,RO BD,PL,RO,CC, CI	3 1.5 1.5	3							Sand	() X
GAL-MISS.GUI 20/1	- - 16 -	5					9										•	•	JN,UN,RO ≻BD,UN,RO BD,UN,RO BD,UN,RO >BD,CU,RO BD,UN,RO	3 3 3 3 3 3 3	1 1 1 1							Sand with Screen	
031 S:CLIENTS/TIME DEVELOPMENT/NIAGARA FALLS RIVER RD AND JOHN S1/02 DATA/GIN1/5507 RIVER ROAD NIAGARA FALLS/GF	- 17 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 20 - 21 - 21 - 21 - 22			END OF DRILLHOLE		16.87																							
1 A-RUN	D 1	DEPTH SCALE LOGGED: SP 1:50 CHECKED: MT																											

PROJECT: 19127638 LOCATION: N 4773198.00; E 657288.00

GTA-BHS 001

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: BH-16-2

SHEET 1 OF 3 DATUM: Geodetic

BORING DATE: 12/2/2016

HAMMER TYPE: AUTOMATIC

SPT/DCPT HAMMER: MASS, 64kg; DROP, 760mm DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s SAMPLES SOIL PROFILE BORING METHOD ш ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING DEPTH SCALE METRES PIEZOMETER STRATA PLOT 20 40 60 80 10⁻¹⁰ 10⁻⁸ 10⁻⁶ 10-4 OR BLOWS/0.3m STANDPIPE INSTALLATION NUMBER ТҮРЕ SHEAR STRENGTH Cu, kPa ELEV. nat V. + Q - ● rem V. ⊕ U - ○ WATER CONTENT PERCENT DESCRIPTION DEPTH OW - wi Wp (m) 40 40 60 80 20 60 80 20 GROUND SURFACE 169.30 0 FILL - (CL) SILTY CLAY, some sand, 0.00 trace gravel; dark brown; cohesive, w<PL, firm 1 SS 4 Jaer 168.69 24042 (SM) gravelly SILTY SAND; 0.61 Solid 3 reddish-brown; non-cohesive, moist, dense to very dense 50 mm Diameter Monitoring Well 3 inch O.D. 2 SS 41 3 SS 50/ 167.65 1.65 BEDROCK Ť For bedrock coring details refer to RECORD OF DRILLHOLE BH-16-2 2 S:ICLIENTS\TIME_DEVELOPMENTNIAGARA_FALLS_RIVER_RD_AUHN_ST02_DATAIGINT\507_RIVER_ROAD_NIAGARA_FALLS.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 25/1/17 Bedrock cored between depths of 1.65 m and 19.10 m 3 4 cME 75 Truck Mounted Rig Bentonite Core 오 6 7 8 9 10 ᇤ CONTINUED NEXT PAGE DEPTH SCALE LOGGED: SP Golder 1:50 CHECKED: MPL Associates

PROJECT:	19127638
LOCATION:	N 4773198.00; E 657288.00

SHEET 2 OF 3 DATUM: Geodetic

BORING DATE: 12/2/2016

HAMMER TYPE: AUTOMATIC

SPT/DCPT HAMMER: MASS, 64kg; DROP, 760mm DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s SOIL PROFILE SAMPLES BORING METHOD ш ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING DEPTH SCALE METRES PIEZOMETER STRATA PLOT 20 40 60 80 10⁻¹⁰ 10⁻⁸ 10⁻⁶ 10-4 OR BLOWS/0.3m NUMBER STANDPIPE INSTALLATION ТҮРЕ ELEV. SHEAR STRENGTH nat V. + Q - ● Cu, kPa rem V. ⊕ U - ○ WATER CONTENT PERCENT DESCRIPTION DEPTH OW - WI Wp (m) 60 20 40 40 80 60 80 20 --- CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE ---10 BEDROCK For bedrock coring details refer to RECORD OF DRILLHOLE BH-16-2 ╢ Ē Bedrock cored between depths of 1.65 m and 19.10 m <u>|</u>||| 11 Dec. 7, 2016 12 GTA-BHS 001 S:\CLIENTS\TIME_DEVELOPMENTNIAGARA_FALLS_RIVER_RD_AUN_ST02_DATA\GINT\5507_RIVER_ROAD_NIAGARA_FALLS\GPJ GAL-MIS\GDT 25/1/17 13 Bentonite 14 75 Truck Mounted Rig 0 H 15 O 16 17 Sand 18 Sand with Screen 19 150.20 19.10 Sand END OF BOREHOLE NOTES: Date Groundwater measurement (m bgs) 20 CONTINUED NEXT PAGE DEPTH SCALE LOGGED: SP Golder Associates 1:50 CHECKED: MPL

PROJECT:	19127638
LOCATION:	N 4773198.00; E 657288.00

SHEET 3 OF 3 DATUM: Geodetic

BORING DATE: 12/2/2016

HAMMER TYPE: AUTOMATIC

SPT/DCPT HAMMER: MASS, 64kg; DROP, 760mm DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s SOIL PROFILE SAMPLES 1 BORING METHOD ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING DEPTH SCALE METRES PIEZOMETER 10⁻¹⁰ 10⁻⁶ STRATA PLOT BLOWS/0.3m 20 40 60 80 10⁻⁸ 10-4 OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION NUMBER ТҮРЕ ELEV. SHEAR STRENGTH nat V. + Q - ● Cu, kPa rem V. ⊕ U - ○ WATER CONTENT PERCENT DESCRIPTION DEPTH -OW Wp 🛏 WI (m) 40 60 20 40 60 20 80 80 --- CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE ---20 12/6/16 12/7/16 12/19/16 11.4 11.4 11.4 11.4 12/21/16 21 22 GTA-BHS 001 S:CLIENTSTIME_DEVELOPMENTNIAGARA_FALLS_RIVER_RD_AND_JOHN_ST02_DATA/GINT/5507_RIVER_ROAD_NIAGARA_FALLS.GPJ_GAL-MIS.GDT_25/1/17 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 DEPTH SCALE LOGGED: SP Golder Associates 1:50 CHECKED: MPL



PROJECT: 19127638 RECORD OF DRILLHOLE: BH-16-2													SHEE	T 2 OF 2															
	INC	LIN	IAT	TION: -90° AZIMUTH:			۵	ORIL	LIN	E IG (RIL	LL F	RIC RA	G: (CM OR	1E 7 R: C	75 08V	V D	rillin	g							2,110		
DEPTH SCALE	METRES	DRILLING RECORD		DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	RUN No.	FLUSH RETURN	R TO CO 08	ECC TAL ECC	CHC SC CO 86	DLID RY DLID RE %	DG	ICA 1.Q.[2.Q.[389]		RAC			ions TEC	NOTE: s, symbols and des CHNICAL ROCK D DISCONTINUITY DAT/ TYPE AND SURFACI DESCRIPTION	E E SCI A E	ons RIPT		NOL		TH- NG EX 9M	FEATURES	NOTES	
	12			CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE Fresh, medium to thickly bedded, grey, medium grained, faintly porous, medium to very strong, crinoidal DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation]			7																					Broken Core	
	14						9																					Bentonite Broken Core	-
	16	Rotary Drill	HQ Core			10 BD,UN,SM 2 1 BD,UN,RO 3 1 11										Lost Core													
	17						12																					Sand	
	19					150.20	13																					Sand with Screen	
	20	_		END OF DRILLHOLE		19.10															_								-
	DE 1:	LOGGED: SP																											

PROJECT: 19127638 LOCATION: N 4773144.00; E 657260.00

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: BH-16-3

BORING DATE: 11/30/2016

SHEET 1 OF 3 DATUM: Geodetic

SP	T/DC	CPT HAMMER: MASS, 64kg; DROP, 7	60mm					HAMM	er type: automatic
щ	Ð	SOIL PROFILE		_	SAM	IPLES	HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY,		
DEPTH SCAI METRES	BORING METH	DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	NUMBER	TYPE BLOWS/0.3m	20 40 60 80 SHEAR STRENGTH nat V. + Q. ● Cu, kPa rem V. ⊕ U - ○ 20 40 60 80	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	VIEZOMETER OR OR
		GROUND SURFACE	0,	168.80					
- 0 -		(ML) SILT, some sand, some orga trace gravel; reddish-brown; non-cohesive, moist, compact	nics,	168.22	1A 1B	SS 11			
- 1		(SW) gravelly SAND; reddish-brow non-cohesive, moist, compact (ML) sandy SILT, trace gravel; reddish-brown; non-cohesive, moi dense	rn;	0.58 168.04 0.76 167.66	2A 2B	SS 41			50 mm Diameter Monitoring Well
- 2		 (GW) sandy GRAVEL; reddish-brown (Non-cohesive, moist, dense (ML) SILT, trace to some gravel, tr some sand; reddish-brown; non-cohesive, moist, compact to v dense 	wn; 200 ace to ery	1.32	3	SS 27			
- 2	_	BEDROCK For bedrock coring details refer to RECORD OF DRILLHOLE BH-16- Bedrock cored between depths of	3	166.44 2.36	4	SS 0.08	3		
- 4		2.36 m and 19.21 m							
- 5	ck Mounted Rig								Bentonite
-	CME 75 True								
- 6	0	HQ Core							
- 7									
- 8									
- 9									
40									
10	_ L	CONTINUED NEXT PAGE	+	1	\vdash \uparrow	1-		t t t -	
			I				<u> </u>		
DE	PTH	H SCALE					Golder		LOGGED: SP
1:	50						Associates		CHECKED: MPL

PROJECT:	19127638
LOCATION:	N 4773144.00; E 657260.00

SHEET 2 OF 3 DATUM: Geodetic

BORING DATE: 11/30/2016

HAMMER TYPE: AUTOMATIC

SPT/DCPT HAMMER: MASS, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

																ΠΑΙΝΙ		TPE. AUTOWATIC
Ш	DOH.	SOIL PROFILE	1. 1	s	AMPL	ES	DYNAN RESIS	IIC PEN FANCE,	BLOW	'ION S/0.3m	Ì,	HYDR/	AULIC C k, cm/s	ONDUC	TIVITY,	T	4g	PIEZOMETER
IRES	MET.		PLOT			0.3m	2	0.	40	60	80	10) ⁻¹⁰ 1	0 ⁻⁸ 1	0 ⁻⁶ 1	0⁴ ⊥		
ME	RING	DESCRIPTION		V. TH	TYPE	/SWC	SHEAF Cu, kPa	STRE!	NGTH	nat V. rem V. 6	+ Q-● € U-O	W	ATER C		PERCE	NT	ADDI AB. T	INSTALLATION
	BO		(m) z		BLO	2	0.	40	60	80	2	20 4	10 (50 E	30	<u>د ۲</u>	
10		CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE								_								
		For bedrock coring details refer to																
		RECORD OF DRILLHOLE BH-16-3																
		2.36 m and 19.21 m																∇
11																		Dec. 7, 2016
12																		
			ETER 1															
			Ē															
13																		
			ЕЩН															
																		Bentonite
14	D P																	
1 - 1 - 1	unted																	
1	Core																	
F	a bi																	
15	CME																	
16			副															
			副															
			副															
17																		
																		Sanu
18			副															
																		Sand with Screen
19																		
\vdash		END OF BOREHOLE	EIIE 149	.59 .21	-	-												
		NOTES:																
		Date Groundwater measurement																
20 –		CONTINUED NEXT PAGE	-+-		+-	1-				+	-	†		+		+		
DEP	TH S	SCALE						Á		<u> </u>					1			OGGED: SP
1:5	0							Q	J A	GOID SSOCI	er iates						СН	ECKED: MPL

PROJECT:	19127638
LOCATION:	N 4773144.00; E 657260.00

SHEET 3 OF 3 DATUM: Geodetic

BORING DATE: 11/30/2016

	521/		T HAMMER: MASS, 64	ikg; DROP, 760mm															HAM		(PE: AUTOMATIC
ΓE	T	д Р	S				SAI	MPLE	s	DYNAI RESIS	MIC PEN TANCE,	BLOWS	ION 5/0.3m	Ì	HYDR/	AULIC C k, cm/s	ONDUC	rivity,	T	^g L	PIEZOMETER
1 SCA		MET			PLOT		ER		0.3m	2	0 4	40	60	80	10) ⁻¹⁰ 1) ⁻⁸ 1	0 ⁻⁶ 1	0⁴ ⊥	TION ^A	
EPTH		RING	DESCRI	PTION	RATA I	DEPTH	IUMB	TYPE	/SWO	SHEAF Cu, kP	R STREM a	NGTH	nat V. ⊣ rem V. €	+ Q-● ● U- ○	W			PERCE	NT	ADDI AB. T	INSTALLATION
		BC			STF	(m)	Z		BĽ	2	0 4	40	60	80	2	20 4	<u>0</u>	ا 1008	30		
	20		CONTINUED FROM	PREVIOUS PAGE				-				-									
-			12/5/16 12/6/16	10.7																	
-			12/7/16 12/14/16	10.8 10.9																	
-			12/21/16	10.9																	
- :	21																				-
_																					
-																					
-																					
	22																				-
25/1/																					
GDT																					
-MIS																					
EAL	23																				-
- GPJ																					
	24																				-
z- o																					
ROA																					
VER -																					
7 	25																				-
11/250																					
102	26																				-
AN																					
21	27																				-
- R																					
Z L L																					
GAR	28																				-
MEN																					
LOP																					
	29																				-
<u>–</u> –																					
	30																				
1 S:\(-
15 00								1		L		Ś.	1	1	1			1			
- A-BF		TH S	CALE										Gold	er						L	DGGED: SP
0	1:50	J									- V	🖊 A.	ssoci	ares						CH	EURED: MPL



GTARCK 031 S:/CLIENTS\TIME_DEVELOPMENT)NIAGARA FALLS, RIVER, RD_AND_JOHN_ST02_DATA\GINT\5507_RIVER, ROAD_NIAGARA FALLS,GPJ_GAL-MISS,GDT_25/1/17

P L'	ROJ OCA	EC TIO	T: 19127638 N: N 4773144.0 ;E 657260.0 TON: -90° AZIMUTH:	I	REC	OI		DR				ATE RIG:	LL :: 1 CN	.H 1/30 //E 7	0/20 75	LE 16	: ::	BH-16-3					SHEE DATU	T 2 OF 2 IM: Geodetic
DEPTH SCALE METRES		חאוררוואפ אבטטאט	DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	RUN No.	FLUSH RETURN	RE				GIC R.C	Fo ;AL	r ab				NOTE: IS, symbols and descri CHNICAL ROCK DES DISCONTINUITY DATA TYPE AND SURFACE DESCRIPTION	ptio	IPT		FH- NG EX €X €X €X €X	FEATURES	NOTES
- - - - - - - - - - - -	3		CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE Fresh, thinly to medium bedded, grey to brown, medium grained, moderately to highly porous, medium strong to strong, crinoidal DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation]		<u>156.22</u> 12.58	8										•	•	JN,UN,RO,IN, Ca JN,PL,RO,IN, Ca JN,UN,RO,PC, Ca JN,UN,RO,CC, Ca		1 4 1 4 3 3 3 3				Broken Core
	5		Fresh, thinly to medium bedded, grey, fine to medium grained, faintly porous, medium strong to very strong.		<u>153.61</u> 15.19	9										•	•	JN,UN,RO,IN, Ca JN,UN,RO,IN, Ca JN,PL,VRO,IN, Ca		1414				Bentonite
	16 Image: Big of the tormedium grained, faintly porous, medium strong to very strong, DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation] with some SHALE laminations Image: Big of the tormedium grained, faintly porous, medium strong to very strong, DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation] with some SHALE laminations Image: Big of the tormedium strong to very strong, DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation] with some SHALE laminations Image: Big of the tormedium strong to very strong, DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation] with some SHALE laminations Image: Big of the tormedium strong to very strong, DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation] with some SHALE laminations Image: Big of the tormedium strong to very strong, DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation] with some SHALE laminations Image: Big of tormedium strong to very strong, DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation] with some SHALE laminations Image: Big of tormedium strong to very strong, DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation] with some SHALE laminations Image: Big of tormedium strong to very strong, DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation] with some SHALE laminations Image: Big of tormedium strong to very strong, DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation] with some SHALE laminations Image: Big of tormedium strong to very strong, DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation] with some SHALE laminations Image: Big of tormedium strong to very strong, DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation] with some SHALE laminations Image: Big of tormedium strong to very strong, DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation] with some SHALE laminations Image: Big of tormedium strong to very strong, DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation] with strong to very strong, DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation] with strong to very strong, DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation] with strong to very strong to ve															- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -								
1 21/02 DAIA/GIN1/300/ DAIA	3					11											•	BD,PL,RO	1	1.5 1				Sand
19 19 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	э 		END OF DRILLHOLE		<u>149.59</u> 19.21	12																		
	- 20																							
	2 EPT : 50	нs	CALE										Ĩ		G			er						GED: SP KED: MT

PROJECT: 19127638 LOCATION: N 4773149.00; E 657217.00

GTA-BHS 001

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: BH-16-4

SHEET 1 OF 3 DATUM: Geodetic

BORING DATE: 12/6/2016

HAMMER TYPE: AUTOMATIC

SPT/DCPT HAMMER: MASS, 64kg; DROP, 760mm DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s SAMPLES SOIL PROFILE BORING METHOD ш ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING DEPTH SCALE METRES PIEZOMETER STRATA PLOT 20 40 60 80 10⁻¹⁰ 10⁻⁸ 10⁻⁶ 10-4 OR BLOWS/0.3m STANDPIPE INSTALLATION NUMBER ТҮРЕ ELEV. SHEAR STRENGTH nat V. + Q - ● Cu, kPa rem V. ⊕ U - ○ WATER CONTENT PERCENT DESCRIPTION DEPTH -OW - wi Wp (m) 40 60 80 20 40 60 80 20 GROUND SURFACE 171.80 0 TOPSOIL 0.00 (CL) sandy SILTY CLAY, some gravel; reddish-brown (TILL); cohesive, w<PL, stiff to hard 0.15 R 1 SS 11 50 mm Diameter Monitoring Well Solid Stem 1 2 SS 48 170.43 (SM/GP) SILTY SAND and GRAVEL; reddish-brown (TILL); non-cohesive, dry, inch O.D. very dense SS 76 3 Si 2 S:ICLIENTS\TIME_DEVELOPMENTNIAGARA_FALLS_RIVER_RD_AUHN_ST02_DATAIGINT\507_RIVER_ROAD_NIAGARA_FALLS.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 25/1/17 169.36 2.44 ř BEDROCK For bedrock coring details refer to RECORD OF DRILLHOLE BH-16-4 ╢ Bedrock cored between depths of 2.44 m and 22.01 m 3 4 cME 75 Truck Mounted Rig Bentonite 6 HQ Core 7 8 9 É 10 CONTINUED NEXT PAGE DEPTH SCALE LOGGED: AKV Golder 1:50 CHECKED: MPL Associates

PROJECT:	19127638
LOCATION:	N 4773149.00; E 657217.00

SHEET 2 OF 3 DATUM: Geodetic

BORING DATE: 12/6/2016

Best Product South Product South Product South Product Product Product <th>SPI/DCP</th> <th>T HAMINIER: MASS, 64Kg; DROP, 760mm</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>1</th> <th>HAMN</th> <th>IER IYPE: AUTOMATIC</th>	SPI/DCP	T HAMINIER: MASS, 64Kg; DROP, 760mm			1	HAMN	IER IYPE: AUTOMATIC
Sector Sector<	S THOD	SOIL PROFILE		SAMPLES	S DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m	HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s	
10 <	METRE. RING ME	DESCRIPTION	Old ATA DEDLF	UMBER TYPE WS/0.3m	5 SHEAR STRENGTH nat V. + Q - ● Cu, kPa rem V. ⊕ U - ○	10 ⁻¹⁰ 10 ⁸ 10 ⁹ 10 ⁴ WATER CONTENT PERCENT	OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION
10	BQ		(m)	BLO BLO	20 40 60 80	20 40 60 80	
11 Per Description control between depth of 24 m and 22 01 m Per description of 24 m and 24 m and 24 m and 24 m Per description of 24 m and 24 m and 24 m and 24 m and 24 m Per description of 24 m and	10	CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE					
	INO INO 10 11 11 12 13 14 14 15 17 14 18	CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE BEDROCK For bedrock coring details refer to RECORD OF DRILLHOLE BH-16-4 Bedrock cored between depths of 2.44 m and 22.01 m		BLOW	Cu, kPa rem V. ⊕ UO	Wp - Wi 20 40 60 80	Bentonite
	19						Sand 🦉
CONTINUED NEXT PAGE		CONTINUED NEXT PAGE					

LO	CATIO	DN: N 4773149.00; E 657217.00				E	BOR	ING DATE	E: 12/0	6/2016								D	ATUM: Geodetic
SP	T/DCI	PT HAMMER: MASS, 64kg; DROP, 760mm															HAM	MER T	YPE: AUTOMATIC
	Q	SOIL PROFILE			SA	MPL	ES	DYNAM			DN 0.2m)	HYDRA		ONDUC	TIVITY,	Т	6	
SCALE	ЛЕТНО		LOT		٣		Зm	20 20	4NCE, 1	0 6	0.511 60 8	30	10	⁻¹⁰ 1	0 ⁻⁸ 1	0 ⁻⁶ 1	0⁴ ⊥	STING	PIEZOMETER OR
METH	RING N	DESCRIPTION	ATA PI	ELEV.	JMBE	гүре	WS/0	SHEAR Cu, kPa	STREN	GTH r	⊥ nat V. + em V. ⊕	Q - ● U - O	w	ATER CO		PERCE	NT	B. TE	STANDPIPE INSTALLATION
DE	BOF		STR/	(m)	Ñ		BLO	20	4	06	8 O	30	Wp 20	0 4	0 6	50 E	WI 30	< 1	
- 20		CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE	-111-																
- F		For bedrock coring details refer to RECORD OF DRILLHOLE BH-16-4																	Sand
-	Rig	Bedrock cored between depths of																	
-	lounted e	2.44 m and 22.01 m																	
21	Fruck N 4Q Cor		副																Sand with Screen
-	AE 75 -																		
-	G																		
-				149 79															Sand
- 22 -		END OF BOREHOLE		22.01															r _{ut} .
		NOTES:																	
-		Date Groundwater measurement																	
23		12/7/16 11.3 12/19/16 11.9																	
-		12/21/16 11.9																	
-																			
-																			
24 																			
_																			
- - - 25																			
-																			
-																			
- - 26																			
-																			
-																			
- 07																			
- 2/																			
-																			
-																			
- 28																			
-																			
-																			
- 29 - -																			
-																			
					1														
_ 30																			
DE	PTH \$	SCALE							Á		ماط	***						L	OGGED: AKV
1:	50								V	7 As	socia	ates						СН	ECKED: MPL

PROJECT: 19127638

GTA-BHS 001 S:/CLIENTS\TIME_DEVELOPMENTNIAGARA_FALLS_RIVER_RD_AND_JOHN_ST02_DATA/GINT6507_RIVER_ROAD_NIAGARA_FALLS.GPJ GAL-MIS.GDT 25/1/17

SHEET 3 OF 3

PR	sol	EC.	T: 19127638		REC	:0	R) (DF	- C	DF	RIL	L	нс	C	E	: BH-16-4							SHEE	ET 1 OF 2	
LO	CA	TIO NAT	in: N 4773149.0 ;E 657217.0 Ton: -90° Azimuth:			1	DBII		RILL D	-ING RILI	G D/ L R	ATE: IG: (ACT	CMI	2/6/2 E 75	2016) Drill	ing							DATL	JM: Geodetic	
EPTH SCALE METRES			DESCRIPTION	MBOLIC LOG	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	RUN No.	JSH RETURN	RI			LO Y	GICA	For AL A	abbr AND RACT NDEX PER	revi GE	ation OTE	NOTE: ns, symbols and descri ECHNICAL ROCK DES DISCONTINUITY DATA	ption CRII					SY TH- NG FX	FEATURES	NOTES	
-			Top of Bedrock Slightly weathered to fresh, medium to	Ś	169.36 2.44	6	ELL	COF 88	20 £	CORI 885	952 8 2 8 2	864	20	0.25m	6		TYPE AND SURFACE DESCRIPTION	Jr	Ja	10 ⁹	10 ⁻²	W3 M3 M3 M3 M3 M3 M3 M3 M3 M3 M3 M3 M3 M3	W5 W6	7777	Declara Cara	
- - - - - - -			thickly bedded, grey, fine grained, faintly porous, medium strong to very strong, argillaceous DOLOSTONE with sparse vugs, and chert and gypsum nodules [Lockport Formation]			1										-	JN,PL,SM	1	1						Broken Core Broken Core	-
																•	BD,UN,RO BD,UN,RO	3	1							
- 4 - - - -						2										•	BD,UN,RO	3	1							
- - - 5 - - -	5 6																									
- 6 - 6 	6 BD,UN,SM 2 1																									
- - - - - - - - -	Rotary Drill	HQ Core				4										•	BD.UN.RO HBD BD.UN.RO.PC, Ca BD.UN.RO.PC, Sa	3 4 3 3	1 D.75 3 3						Bentonite	
- - - - -																•	BD,PL,SM — JN,UN,RO	1 3	1						Broken Core	
- - - - - -						5										•	JN,UN,RO,CC, Sa	3	3							
- - - - - - - -		-	Fresh, medium to very thickly bedded,		<u>161.2</u> 10.58	6																				
- - - - - -			grey, fine to medium grained, faintly porous to moderately porous, medium strong to very strong, crinoidal DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation]			7											JN,UN,RO	3	1						 Dec. 7, 2016	
- 12 - - -												+++		-				_						 +-		
	L																									
DE 1:	50	нS	UALE									6		A	G ss	old oc	ler iates						(SED: AKV KED: MT	

PR	OJE	CT: 19127638		REC	OF	RD	0	۶F	D	RI		H	10	LE	: BH-16-4					5	SHEE	ET 2 OF 2
INC	CATI	ATION: -90° AZIMUTH:			D	RIL	LING		NG L XILL F ONTF	RIG RAC	E: CI CTO	ME R:	6/20 75 DBV	/ Dri	ling					L	JAIC	JMI: Geodetic
METRES	DRILLING RECORD	DESCRIPTION	SYMBOLIC LOG	ELEV. DEPTH (m)	RUN No.	FLUSH RETURN	REC TOTA CORE 8609		HOLC ERY SOLID SORE %		F(CAL Q.D. %		bbrev ID G		NOTE: ns, symbols and descri ECHNICAL ROCK DES DISCONTINUITY DATA TYPE AND SURFACE DESCRIPTION	iptio SCR	ns r IPTI	to TERM RAULIC UCTIVIT cm/sec	INOL	Y ₩ Mg X SW	FEATURES	NOTES
13 14 15 16 17 18	Rotary Drill DR	CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE Fresh, medium to very thickly bedded, grey, fine to medium grained, faintly porous to moderately porous, medium strong to very strong, DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation] Fresh, medium to very thickly bedded, grey, fine to medium grained, moderately to highly porous, medium strong to strong, crinoidal DOLOSTONE [Lockport Formation] Fresh, medium to very thickly bedded, grey, fine to medium grained, faintly borous, medium to very thickly bedded, grey, fine to medium grained, faintly borous, medium to very thickly bedded, grey, fine to medium grained, faintly borous, medium to very thickly bedded, grey, fine to medium grained, faintly borous, medium strong to very strong,	S	155.55 16.25 153.80 18.00	8 8 9 10								5m 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		BD,UN,RO		3 1			900		Bentonite
19 20 21 22		END OF DRILLHOLE		149.79 22.01	12									•	BD,UN,SM BD,UN,RO		2 1					Sand with Screet
DE 1 :	РТН 50	SCALE								(Ĵ	Ì	G As	iol soc	ler iates					L CI	_OG(GED: AKV KED: MT

APPENDIX D

Results of Geotechnical Laboratory Testing



December 16, 2016

Ms. Sarah Pidgen Golder Associates Ltd. 6925 Century Avenue, Suite #100 Mississauga, Ontario Canada L5N 7K2

Re: UCS Testing (Golder Project No. 1668252)

Dear Ms. Pidgen:

On December 9, 2016 ten (10) HQ-sized rock samples were received by Geomechanica Inc via drop off. These samples were identified as being from boreholes drilled as part of the Golder Project 1668252. A total of ten (10) uniaxial compression strength (UCS) tests (one on each sample) were completed.

Details regarding the steps of specimen preparation and testing along with the test results and photographs of specimens before and after testing are presented in the accompanying laboratory report.

Sincerely,

Giovanni Grasselli Ph.D., P. Eng.

Geomechanica Inc. Tel: (647) 478-9767 Email: giovanni.grasselli@geomechanica.com



Rock Laboratory Testing Results

A report submitted to:

Sarah Pidgen Golder Associates Ltd. 6925 Century Avenue, Suite #100 Mississauga, Ontario Canada L5N 7K2

Prepared by:

Bryan Tatone, PhD Omid Mahabadi, PhD Giovanni Grasselli, PhD, PEng

> Geomechanica Inc #900-390 Bay St Toronto ON M5H 3V9 Canada Tel: +1-647-478-9767 info@geomechanica.com

December 16, 2016 Project number: 1668252

Abstract

This document summarizes the results of Uniaxial Compressive Strength (UCS) testing of limestone samples for Golder Associates Limited. (Golder Project No. 1668252). A digital file containing all measurements taken for these tests accompanies this report.

In this document:

1 Uniaxial Compressive Strength Tests

1

Disclaimer: This report was prepared by Geomechanica Inc. for Golder Associates Limited. The material herein reflects Geomechanica Inc.'s best judgment given the information available at the time of preparation. Any use which a third party makes of this report, any reliance on or decision to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. Geomechanica Inc. accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report.

1 Uniaxial Compressive Strength Tests

1.1 Introduction

This section summarizes the results of rock laboratory testing of limestone samples under unconfined uniaxial compression. The tests were performed Geomechanica's laboratory using a 1.3 MN capacity Forney compression testing machine (Figure 1) under nearly constant axial strain rates of 7×10^{-6} s⁻¹. The specimen preparation and testing procedure included the following:

- 1. Diamond cutting of core samples to obtain cylindrical specimens with an appropriate length (length:diameter = 2:1) and nearly parallel end faces.
- 2. Diamond grinding of specimens to obtain flat and parallel end faces within ± 0.05 mm.
- 3. Placement of the specimen into the loading frame and loading to rupture while recording axial force and axial deformation to determine peak strength (UCS) and (tangent) Young's modulus (E).



Figure 1: Forney loading frame used for uniaxial compression testing.

1.2 Results

The results of UCS testing are summarized in Table 1. The corresponding stress-strain curves are presented in Figure 2. The Young's modulus values presented in Table 1 represent the tangent modulus, calculated as the slope of the best fit line through ± 300 data points on either side of the point representing 50% of the UCS.

1.3 Specimen photographs

Photographs of the specimens before and after testing are shown in Figure 3 to Figure 5.

Sample	Rock type	Depth from (m)	Depth to (m)	Bulk density (g/cm ³)	UCS (MPa)	Young's modulus, E_{50} (GPa)	Notes
SA-1-1	Limestone	9.79	10.04	2.77	132.1	63.6	1
SA-1-2	Limestone	15.61	15.80	2.74	157.3	58.5	
SA-2-1	Limestone	17.00	17.17	2.75	218.2	79.1	2
SA-2-2	Limestone	18.15	18.38	2.71	129.0	65.0	3
SA-3-1	Limestone	6.82	7.05	2.67	195.7	59.1	
SA-3-2	Limestone	13.77	14.02	2.46	62.7	37.6	3, 4
SA-3-3	Limestone	18.75	18.96	2.70	129.7	55.1	5
SA-4-1	Limestone	8.82	9.06	2.75	176.3	66.6	1
SA-4-2	Limestone	20.26	20.47	2.74	143.5	58.6	
SA-4-3	Limestone	21.52	21.83	2.70	159.3	64.5	3
Min				2.46	62.7	37.6	
Max				2.77	218.2	79.1	
Mean				2.70	150.4	60.8	
Standard	Deviation			0.09	42.7	10.5	

Table 1: Summary of UCS test results.

¹ Failure partially along sub-horizontal shaly parting

² LVDTs removed prior to rupture to avoid damage

³ Failure partially along sub-vertical healed feature

⁴ Specimen had visibly high porosity

⁵ Specimen length: diameter < 2:1 due to core breakage during preparation



Figure 2: Measured stress-strain curves for samples from different boreholes.



SA-1-1 9.79 - 10.04 m SA-1-2 15.61 - 15.80 m SA-2-1 17.00 - 17.17 m SA-2-2 18.15 - 18.38 m



Figure 3: Photographs of test specimens before testing (top) and after testing (bottom).



Figure 4: Photographs of test specimens before testing (top) and after testing (bottom).



Figure 5: Photographs of test specimens before testing (top) and after testing (bottom).

Extract from AMEC 2006

APPENDIX E

amec[©]

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION 'THE RESIDENCES AT RIVER ROAD' 5471/5491/5507 RIVER ROAD NIAGARA FALLS, ONTARIO

Submitted To:

Mr. J. Marc Baronette O.R.E. Development Corporation 6755 Mississauga Road Ontario L5N 7Y2

Submitted by:

AMEC Earth & Environmental A Division of AMEC Americas Limited 3300 Merrittville Hwy., Unit #5 Thorold, Ontario L2V 4Y6

> January 2006 TG53110





					REC	OR	D OF	BOF	REHO	DLE	No	1		2 C)F 2			
ROJE	CT <u>Geotechnical Investigation -</u>	The I	Resi	dence	;	L(OCATIO	N <u>(s</u> e	ee boi	ehole	e loca	tion p	lan)				ORIG	BINATED BY ma
	D. TG53110 DATE	.lan !	5/20	06													COM	CKED BY al
					EQ		i	STAN	DARD F	PENET	RATIO	N TEST					02	
		Ŀ				ATER	Ê	DYNA 1	MIC PE	:NETRA :0 3	ATION 60 4	1EST 10 5	50	WA	TER C	ONTE	NT	OBSERVATIONS
EV PTH	DESCRIPTION	AT PLO	IMBER	LYPE	VALUE		ЕРТН (SHEA O UI	AR STI		TH (kF	Pa) FIELD			(%	b)		& REMARKS
		STR	ľ	'	z	GRO		• QI	UICK T 100	RIAXIA 20	L 🗣	LAB V/ 300	ANE	20) 40	0 6	0	
	medium bedded, few small (2 - 10 mm) calcite lined						_											
	vugs, few large					1	-											TCR = 97%; RQD
	shaley partings, dark grey						-											= 63% -no water return
	12.12 m, <5% chert		9	CORE			12											-
	content						-											
							-											
						1	- 13											
							-											= 75%
			10	CORE			-											-small water return below 13 m depth
							-											
							14 											
			-			1	-											
							-											= 73%
			11	CORF			15											
							-											
							-											
						1	- 16											TCB - 100%
							-											RQD = 60%
			12	CORE			-											
							-											
5.6 7.1	LOCKPORT FORMATION						17 											
	- DECEW MEMBER dark					-	-											
	DOLOSTONE medium						-											= 95%
	partings, few stylolites, few		12				18											-
	gypsum nodules, 1 large (> 50 mm) at 20.07 m		13	CORE			-											
							-											
						-	- 19	<u> </u>										
						1	-											TCR = 100%; ROD = 69%
							-											
			. 14	CORE			-											
							—20 —											
42.2 20.5	BOREHOLE						-											
	IERMINATED																	
			1			1		1										

OJE	CT <u>Geotechnical Investigation</u> . O.R.E. Development Corp.	The F	Resi	dence		LC	CATIO	N (see borehole location plan)	0 0	RIGINATED BY <u>ma</u>
)B NC	D. <u>TG53110</u> DATE _	Jan. 6	6/20	06					C	HECKED BY al
	SOIL PROFILE		5	SAMPL	ES	ы К		STANDARD PENETRATION TEST□ DYNAMIC PENETRATION TEST ■		
EV PTH	DESCRIPTION	STRAT PLOT	NUMBER	ТҮРЕ	"N" VALUES	GROUND WATE CONDITIONS	DEPTH (m)	10 20 30 40 50 SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa) ○ UNCONFINED ▲ FIELD VANE ● QUICK TRIAXIAL ● LAB VANE 100 200 300	(%)	OBSERVATIONS & REMARKS
0.0 6.8	Dark brown SILTY SAND FILL , organics, brick piece, loose, moist		1	SS	6		-			TCR=Total Core Recovery; RQD=Rock Quality
0.6	Brown SILTY SAND mottled, medium to coarse grained, some gravel, some organics, compact, (PDOADLE EILL)		2	SS	29		- 1 -		•	Designation
	(FRODADLE FILL)		3	SS	39		- - - 2			
4.7							-			
2.7	GRAVEL, loose, broken rock pieces with some						- 3			
3.2	Sand Inflit LOCKPORT FORMATION - ERAMOSA MEMBER medium grey, fine to medium grained DOLOSTONE, thin to medium bedded, calcite present, some alteration to sphalerite, some shaley partings, some weathered		4	CORE			- - - 4 - -			TCR = 100%; RQD = 50%
	Below the depth of 7.0 m, changing to medium blue grey, medium to coarse grained, crystalline , few fine stylolites, calcite present, <5% chert		5	CORE			- 5 - - - - 6 -			— TCR = 100%; RQD = 93%
			6	CORE			- - 7 -			TCR = 100%; RQD = 100%
9.7 7.8	BOREHOLE						_			
	TERMINATED									

					REC	ORI) OF	BOR	EHO	DLE	No	3		1 (DF 1			
PROJE	CT Geotechnical Investigation - 1	he R	esid	ence		_ LC	CATIO	N <u>(se</u>	e bor	ehole	locat	tion pl	an)				ORIG	SINATED BY <u>ma</u>
CLIEN	T O.R.E. Development Corp.					_											COM	PILED BY <u>ma</u>
JOB N	0. <u>TG53110</u> DATE <u>J</u>	<u>an. 6</u>	/200	6		_	_										CHEO	CKED BY al
	SOIL PROFILE		SA	AMPL	ES	R (STANI DYNA	Dard F Mic Pe	PENET	RATION	N TEST FEST						
ELEV DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	STRAT PLOT	NUMBER	ТҮРЕ	"N" VALUES	GROUND WAT CONDITIONS	DEPTH (m)	1 SHEA 0 UM • QI	0 2 NR STF NCONF JICK TI 100	0 3 RENG ⁻ INED RIAXIAI 20	0 4 TH (kF	0 5 Pa) FIELD VA 300	0 VANE	W/	ATER ((% ۵ 4	CONTE 6) 0 6	NT	OBSERVATIONS & REMARKS
169.9 169:7 0.2	Dark brown SANDY SILT FILL , organics, rootlets, some pebbles Boddich brown SILTY	××	1	SS	8		 _	G									<u> </u>	TCR=Total Core Recovery; RQD=Rock Quality
	SAND TO SANDY SILT mottled, some pebbles, moist to wet, compact, (PROBABLE FILL)		2	SS	10 - 150 mm		- - 1 -						[Designation
169.1			3	99	15 - 75		_						۵					
1.8	LOCKPORT FORMATION - ERAMOSA MEMBER grey-brown, medium crystalline DOLOSTONE medium bedded, calcite present, some alteration to sphalerite, few stylolites, vugs up to 25 mm, <5% chert		4 (CORE	mm		- 2 - 2 - 3 - 3							•				TCR = 100%; RQD = 100%
165.2			5 (CORE			- - 4 - -											TCR = 100%; RQD = 60%
4.1	TERMINATED																	



					REC	ORI	D OF	BOF	REHO	DLE	No 4	4		1 (DF 1			
PROJEC	CT Geotechnical Investigation -	The F	Resid	dence	9	LC	CATIO	N <u>(se</u>	e boi	ehole	e locat	tion p	lan)				ORIG	GINATED BY ma
CLIENT	O.R.E. Development Corp.							_									СОМ	PILED BY <u>ma</u>
JOB NO	. <u>TG53110</u> DATE _	Jan. 9	9/200	06													CHE	CKED BY al
	SOIL PROFILE		S	SAMPL	ES	ĸ		STAN DYNA	dard f Mic Pe	PENETI NETR/	RATION	N TEST FEST						
		-OT	R		JES	WATE	(E) T	1	0 2	0 3	0 4	0 5	0	W/	ATER (CONTE	NT	OBSERVATIONS &
ELEV DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	RAT PI	UMBE	ТҮРЕ	VALL		DEPTI	SHEA O UI	AR STI	RENG INED	TH (kF ▲	Pa) FIELD	VANE		(%	6)		REMARKS
171 1		STF	z		z	ORO ORO		• Q	JICK TI 100	RIAXIA 20	L 🗣	LAB V/ 300	ANE	2	0 4	06	0	
0.0	Dark brown to black						_											
170.5	organics, rootlets, burnt		1	SS	6		-	٦.										
0.6	Reddish brown SILTY	0					-							İ				
169.9	sand and graveL mottled, some organic) • []	2	SS	39		- 1											
1.2 169.7	staining, dense, (PROBABLE FILL)						-							•				
1.5	Reddish brown SANDY																	dry and open upon completion
	numerous pebbles, moist,																	
	BOREHOLE																	
	AUGER REFUSAL																	


RECORD OF BOREHOLE No 5 1 OF 1																		
PROJECT Geotechnical Investigation - The Residence LOCATION (see borehole location plan) ORIGINATED BY ma																		
CLIENT O.R.E. Development Corp. COMPILED BY ma																		
JOB NO. I G53110 DATE Jan. 9/2006 CHECKED BY al																		
SOIL PROFILE				AMPL	.ES	н К К		STANDARD PENETRATION TEST□ DYNAMIC PENETRATION TEST ■										
ELEV DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	STRAT PLOT	NUMBER	түре	"N" VALUES	GROUND WAT CONDITION	DEPTH (m)	10 20 30 40 50 SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa)						WATER CONTENT (%) 20 40 60			ENT 60	OBSERVATIONS & REMARKS
164.3 0.0 164.0 0.3	Dark brown TOPSOIL peaty, organics, rootlets, moist Brown to dark brown	1 SS	9		- - -	ц						•	•					
	GRAVELLY SAND some organics, moist, compact, (PROBABLE FILL)		2	SS	15		- 1 -								•			
100 5) o	3	SS	15 - 50 mm		-						0	ו				
182.5	BOREHOLE TERMINATED DUE TO AUGER REFUSAL																	dry and open upon completion



RECORD OF BOREHOLE No 6 1 OF 1																		
PROJECT Geotechnical Investigation - The Residence LOCATION (see borehole location plan) ORIGINATED BY ma																		
CLIENT O.R.E. Development Corp. COMPILED BY ma																		
JOB NO. TG53110 DATE Jan. 9/2006 CHECKED BY al																		
SOIL PROFILE SAMPLES a								STANDARD PENETRATION TEST□ DYNAMIC PENETRATION TEST ■										
		DESCUIDION NUMBER NULUES				WATE	E)	10 20 30 40 50						WATER CONTENT (%)			NT	OBSERVATIONS
	DESCRIPTION						EPTH	SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa) ○ UNCONFINED ▲ FIELD VANE ● QUICK TRIAXIAL ● LAB VANE 100 200 300										REMARKS
						GRC	Ω							20 40 60				
170.7 17 0.6	_ GRANULAR FILL									20		500					0	
0.2	Reddish brown SILTY		1	SS	9		_	G										
170.0	SAND, some pebbles,	\bigotimes					-											
169.6	Reddish brown FINE	0	2	SS	48 - 180 mm		- 1						0					
1.1	moist, dense																	dry and open upon completion
	TERMINATED DUE TO																	
	AUGER REFUSAL																	



APPENDIX F

Hydraulic Conductivity Results



















golder.com